

Matthew Fagundes

From: Peterson, Robert <Robert.Peterson@cpuc.ca.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2019 10:22 AM
To: Rosalie.Barcinas@sce.com; Diana Gallegos; Michael Bass; Jennifer Shaw; Paul McCabe; Kashif Siddiqi
Cc: Matthew Fagundes
Subject: Circle City - Data Request 19
Attachments: A1512007 City of Ontario-Circle City-SCE-001 Q.05 Attachment 1 of 2-c1-c2.pdf

Data Request No. 19: Circle City Substation and Mira Loma-Jefferson Subtransmission Line Project (Proposed Project) Environmental Impact Report (EIR), A.15-12-007

1. On March 1, 2019, the City of Ontario requested that SCE provide a complete copy of all information pertaining to any other projects SCE has planned for the City of Ontario and within 5 miles of the city limits of Ontario, with a proposed, planned, or expected start date within the next 10 years. On March 29, 2019, SCE provided the City with a spreadsheet of 58 projects (see attached); however, the specific locations of the projects are not indicated and the extent of work is not described.

Of the 58 projects, indicate which are located within one mile of any component of the Proposed Project evaluated in the EIR and identify additional proposed, planned, or expected **SCE projects** outside of the City's jurisdiction, planned within 1 mile of the proposed Mira Loma-Jefferson subtransmission line alignment with construction start dates within the next 10 years. Please provide a map for each of the identified projects. Identify any additional projects within one mile of a Proposed Project component that were not included in the list of 58 projects or prior data responses to the CPUC.

Add a new column to the spreadsheet of projects that provides information about the extent of each linear project (e.g., telecommunications line or electrical line). If work would occur outside the fenceline of a facility site (e.g., a substation), please describe the extent of this work. Provide the revised spreadsheet in Excel format.

For the list of 58 projects provided to the City, we suggest that you also provide them with a map of each project location and describe the extent of work in an updated spreadsheet listing.

2. When asked to clarify the activities that would be conducted via helicopter during construction and/or operation of the Proposed Project, SCE stated **helicopter use** is not anticipated during construction or for routine maintenance associated with the Proposed Project because all structures along the proposed routes are accessible by vehicle (SCE Response to CPUC Data Request 2, Question 35); therefore, helicopter use during construction or operation were not identified as part of the Proposed Project in the EIR Project Description. However, subsequent to the release of the Final EIR, during a conference call on May 8, 2019, SCE indicated that helicopter use would not be required during construction of the Proposed Project, but was not resolute regarding whether or not a helicopter may be required during operations of the Proposed Project for routine inspections. Please clarify whether or not helicopters may be used for inspections of the Proposed Project facilities during operations.
3. Describe the range in **height** difference between the **existing poles and replacement poles** that would be associated with the proposed Mira Loma-Jefferson subtransmission line. Provide a height range difference for the steel poles that would be replaced with steel poles, as well as the wood poles (e.g., along Hellman Avenue and River Road) that would be replaced with steel poles.

Rob Peterson | Senior Analyst/Project Manager | Infrastructure Permitting and CEQA, Energy Division | California Public Utilities Commission | 300 Capitol Mall, 4th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814 | 916/823-4748