Southern California Edison A.15-12-007 – Circle City_Mira Loma-Jefferson PTC

DATA REQUEST SET ED-SCE-22

To: Energy Division
Prepared by: Michael Bass
Job Title: Major Construction Project Manager
Received Date: 7/12/2019

Response Date: 8/12/2019

Question 01:

This question (Q.22.01) is pursuant to the Robert Peterson (CPUC Energy Division, or ED) email dated July 12, 2019, to "Parties to Proceeding A.15-12-007" with Subject, "Energy Division Responses RE: Circle City / MLJ (A.15-12-007) - SCE Questions Re June 2019 "DRAFT ENERGY DIVISION STAFF REPORT" (i.e., Kevala's Battery "Right Sizing" Report)." That email included an attachment titled "2019-0712 Responses to SCE Questions_ED DraftStaffReport A.15-12-007" with the following language (ref. ID# 16, beginning at page 8 of 14):

Energy Division drafted a "side by side" table to compare both SCE's and Kevala's analyses, including assumptions, methodology, tools, and inputs. The comparison requires additional details about SCE's assumptions, methodology, tools, and inputs. With the additional information from SCE, Energy Division will complete the comparison such that it is transparent and understandable to all parties. The comparison should document the past planning approach that led to SCE's finding of substation need as well as the current DRP-based planning approach that led to its removal from the Proposed Project. The current DRP-based approach also applies to SCE's review of the Mira Loma-Jefferson 66-kV Line going forward in this proceeding.

See attached the draft comparison-framework and **Energy Division Data Request #22 to SCE**. We look forward to SCE's completion of the requested table inputs as soon as possible but realize that SCE is working hard to meet the September filing deadline for the proceeding. We request that SCE respond to the Energy Division Data Request by **August 2, 2019**.

Response to Question 01:

On August 1, 2019, SCE communicated the following to ED:

- SCE has agreed to use of ED's proposed comparison framework to compare and contrast methodologies and tools, and
- o ED has agreed to SCE's need for an extension to provide responses to ED DRs 21 and 22, and SCE will give best efforts to provide the responses by **Friday**, **August 9**th.

Please find SCE's response to Energy Division Data Request #22 to SCE attached as filename titled "A1512007 ED-SCE-22 Q.22.01_Attachment 1 of 1."