

APPENDIX F

Agency Consultation Meeting Notes

This page intentionally left blank

- Mentioned Santa Ana River Mainstem Project (flood protection) and plans to raise the spillway of the Prado Basin. Cannot be raised until all of the property is acquired that would be inundated by the project.
- San Bernardino County property was recently acquired and has/is recording an agricultural and conservation easement. City thought this property would remain in land bank as open space. Contacts at San Bernardino County in the Real Estate Services Department: Terry Thompson, Director and Marilee Rendulich. This area includes potential Staging Yard 4 for the proposed project. [Note that on the site visit conducted the day prior, SCE stated it was likely this potential Staging Yard would not be used by the project.]
- Existing dairies are grandfathered-in as non-conforming land uses.
- Potential for burrowing owl mentioned.

meeting notes

project Circle City Substation and Mira Loma-Jefferson 66 kV Subtransmission Line Project project no. 207584.14

date February 3, 2016 time 3:30 to 4:30 pm

present Darrell Talbert, City Manager route to
Joanne Coletta, Community Development Director
Dean Derleth, City Attorney
Connie Chen, CPUC Project Manager
Mike Manka, ESA Project Director
Cory Barringhaus, ESA Deputy Project Manager

subject Agency Consultation with City of Corona regarding Southern California Edison's (SCE) Proposed Circle City Substation and Mira Loma-Jefferson 66 kV Subtransmission Line Project

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and Environmental Science Associates conducted an agency consultation meeting with the City of Corona to discuss the recently released Notice of Preparation (NOP) of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for SCE's Circle City Substation and Mira Loma-Jefferson 66 kV Subtransmission Line Project, to address any questions the City may have about the project, and to solicit any associated scoping comments from the City.

City's Key Points:

- City of Corona expressed concern that the public scoping meeting in Corona was being held on the same night and time as the regularly scheduled City Council meeting (February 17). [Note that an additional public meeting was subsequently scheduled/conducted on February 16.]
- City expressed concern that SCE's need for the project was not clear to them. Would like to see SCE's underlying methodology regarding future load information and compare to the City's growth projections. Felt that the models could be out of sync with each other.
- Expressed concern with proposed underground subtransmission line along Cota Street because of existing congested underground infrastructure. Issues related to groundwater/railroad tracks/industrial land uses.
- Expressed concern with adding larger poles in the area extending east of existing Corona Substation along Blaine Street as area has a lot of traffic, and would add to blighted conditions.
- Expressed concern with adding poles along Blaine Street as none currently exist. Street is only 64 feet wide. Concerned with visual impacts—important area for City because it features busiest Metrolink station in entire system/potential for Transit-oriented Development (TOD) across street to north/surface

parking lot at Metrolink station may become a 6-story garage/mixed-use apartment building at Blaine & Joy Street [Note that this building is new and does not appear on Google maps.]

- No photo simulations were included in PEA along Blaine Street looking eastward.
- Expressed concern with potential disruption during construction of proposed project in conjunction with or immediately following major SR-91 widening project. Grand Boulevard will be reconstructed in this area and SCE project will disturb this street again.
- Photo simulations in PEA need to be updated near Grand Boulevard and East 3rd Street.
- Proposed route along East 3rd Street considered low socioeconomic area with small residential streets. Residents may not be aware of proposed changes to their neighborhood. Area also includes a historic district and city park.
- City would prefer undergrounding line from flood control channel at Quarry Street all the way to proposed substation site.
- City especially concerned with changing the urban character along East 6th Street. Recently completed streetscape/median projects would be impacted.
- Substation Site Alternative A is preferred (rear half) over Alternative B (closer to existing residential [trailer park]).

- The City is not against the Project in a general sense, but it is very much against construction of any overhead lines within the City limits due primarily to concerns related to property values, electric and magnetic fields (EMF), and aesthetics.
- Along Hellman Avenue, north of Schleisman Road, SCE proposes to install new poles within the City on the east side of the road adjacent to existing residences. There is already a 66 kV line on the west side of the road; the new line could be added to those poles.
- The City has an existing ordinance that requires all new distribution lines (34 kV and lower voltage) to be undergrounded within the City, and has made it a priority to successfully implement this ordinance in an effort to preserve the scenic quality of the area.
- The City provided the CPUC with information regarding other new and pending projects along SCE's proposed and alternative (i.e., Archibald Avenue) routes that should be considered in the EIR's cumulative projects scenario.