

memorandum

date	April 27, 2020
to	John Forsythe, AICP
сс	Cory Barringhaus (ESA), Eric Zigas (ESA)
from	Sharon Dulava (ESA)
subject	MPWSP – Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Project Weekly Report (04/20/2020 – 04/24/2020)

Construction Activities

Construction activities occurred on Ragsdale Drive, Lower Ragsdale Drive, and Blue Larkspur Lane during the week of 4/20/2020 – 4/24/2020. Construction activities were conducted by Monterey Peninsula Engineering (MPE) and consisted of installation of Air Release Valves (ARV) on Ragsdale Drive and Lower Ragsdale Drive, installation of release waste piping on and work on a Pressure Regulating Valve (PRV) on Blue Larkspur Lane, and pressure testing of the pipeline along the alignment. Additional information about construction activities is included in the weekly CalAm report included in **Appendix A** and CPUC inspection logs included in **Appendix B**.

Compliance Activities

Denise Duffy & Associates (CalAm monitors) were on site for compliance monitoring.

Work was conducted within the existing roadways and immediately adjacent to existing roadways for installation of an ARV at Lower Ragsdale Drive, which was surveyed for sensitive resources by CalAm monitors prior to any construction activities. MPE conducted regular street sweeping.

Special status species plants (i.e., *Horkelia cuneata ssp.*) have been flagged along the entire construction area. In accordance with Mitigation Measures 4.6-1i, 4.6-1j, and 4.6-1k, CalAm monitors surveyed sections of the alignment ahead of construction daily for nesting birds, Monterey dusky-footed woodrat, and American badger. No sign of American badger, or additional woodrat nests were reported for the period between 4/20/2020 and 4/24/2020. CalAm monitors continued to monitor one crow nest on Lower Ragsdale Drive (near Station 37+00), one bushtit nest along Wilson Road (near Station 13+00), and one bushtit nest along Lower Ragsdale Drive (near Station 30+00) for any behavioral changes resulting from project activities.

Compliance Issues and Resolutions

No compliance issues were observed during the week of 4/20/2020 - 4/24/2020.

Photographs:



Photo 1. MPE pressure testing on Ragsdale Drive at Highway 68.



Photo 2. Conditions on Ragsdale Drive.



Photo 3. Tree protection at staging area



Photo 4. Silt fence around staging area in good condition.

APPENDIX A CalAm Weekly Report



PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING

DATE: April 27, 2020

TO: Cory Barringhaus, Environmental Science Associates (ESA)

FROM: Matthew Johnson, Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. (DD&A)

CC: Even Holmboe, ESA Sharon Dulava, ESA Tyler Potter, DD&A

RE: MPWSP Construction Weekly Biological Monitoring Report

Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. (DD&A) is contracted with AECOM to provide biological monitoring support for the California American Water Company (CalAm) Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP). Biological monitoring includes providing environmental guidance to construction personnel and ensuring the project remains in compliance with the Mitigation, Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP).

This report summarizes	the results o	of monitoring for the	week of April 17	- April 23, 2020.
This report summarized	the results o	i monitoring for the	week of April 17	mpin 20, 2020.

Project/Component:	Work Location:	
Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Project	Ragsdale Drive. Lower Ragsdale Drive, Wilson	
	Road, York Road, and Staging Area	
Monitoring Period:	Project Completion Status:	
4/17/2020 - 4/23/2020	5,887 Linear Feet of Pipeline Installation (Pipeline	
	Alignment Complete), Air Release Valve (ARV)	
	Installation, Pressure Testing	
Construction Contractors/Personnel:	Biological Lead:	
Monterey Peninsula Engineering	M. Johnson	
Biological Monitors:	Days on Site:	
P. Krabacher, M. Hofmarcher	4/17, 4/20, 4/21, 4/22, 4/23	

Biological Surveys:	WEAT Training:
Nesting Bird, American Badger, Monterey	No
Dusky-Footed Woodrat (MDFW) Nests	
New Sensitive Resources:	SWPPP Corrective Actions/Maintenance:
No	No
Encountered Special-Status Species:	Hazardous Spills:
No	No
Relocated Plants or Wildlife:	Compliance Issues:
No	No

Summary of Construction Activities

This section is intended to provide a brief summary of daily construction progress. For a more detailed description of construction activities please refer to the daily logs prepared by CalAm's Inspector.

- 4/17/2020
 - Installation of Air Release Valve (ARV) on Lower Ragsdale Drive at Sta 26+87.
 - Work on Pressure Regulating Valve (PRV) on Blue Larkspur Lane at Sta 20+35.
- **4**/20/2020
 - Installation of Relief Waste Piping on Blue Larkspur Lane at Sta 20+10.
- 4/21/2020
 - Installation of ARV on Ragsdale Drive and Highway 68 intersection.
- **4**/22/2020
 - Pressure testing of pipeline along alignment.
- **4**/23/2020
 - Pressure testing of pipeline along alignment.

Summary of Monitoring Activities

- **4**/17/2020
 - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
 - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
 - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
 - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
 - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment.
 - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/20/2020
 - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
 - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
 - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
 - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
 - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment.
 - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/21/2020
 - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.

- Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
- Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
- Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
- Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
- Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/22/2020
 - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
 - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
 - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
 - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
 - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
 - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- 4/23/2020
 - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
 - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
 - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
 - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
 - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
 - Confirmed trash and recycling receptacles emptied at staging yard.
 - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

Environmental Compliance Issues

DD&A did not observe any compliance issues during this monitoring period.

Recommendations

No adaptive management or mitigation is required.

Attachments

Daily Monitoring Logs

Daily Monitoring Log



Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

roject	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection
5	Improvements
)	86585
urvey Date	04/17/2020
lser	Patric Krabacher
ieneral Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?	No Yes
Project Location Monitored	 X Lower Ragsdale Drive Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area Wilson Road
Company Name	AECOM X DDA
Monitor Name	Patric Krabacher
Time In	06:50 AM
Time Out	02:39 PM
Veather	
Start Temperature (F)	53
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	1
End Temperature (F)	
End Cloud Cover (%)	
End Wind Speed (mph)	
etailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	Monitored the installation of an air valve along Lower Ragsdale
Log of Monitoring Activities	Conducted clearance survey of



all equipment prior to construction activities

Conducted nesting wildlife survey along Lower Ragsdale

General Project Site Photo(s)



air valve location prior to installation



air valve installation on Lower Ragsdale Rd



installation of PRV on Blue Larkspur Ln



Air valve installation along Lower Ragsdale Rd

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT

4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAININ	IG AND EDUCATION
4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?	N/A No X Yes

WEAT Notes

MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL

4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES



4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special- status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	N/A No X Yes



4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	N/A No



	X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	No
	Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	

MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No Yes
Badger Notes	

MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky- footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	 Х	N/A No Yes



4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X	N/A No Yes

Woodrat Notes

MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?		N/A No



	X Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	□ N/A
	X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
Sensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No
	Yes
Additional Notes	





Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

roject	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
)	87951
urvey Date	04/20/2020
ser	Max Hofmarcher
eneral Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Project Location Monitored	 X Lower Ragsdale Drive X Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area X Wilson Road
Company Name	AECOM X DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	07:00 AM
Time Out	04:00 PM
/ eather	
Start Temperature (F)	56
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	6
End Temperature (F)	64
End Cloud Cover (%)	75
End Wind Speed (mph)	8
etailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	crew working on tie-in at end of alignment traffic control set up at Ragsdale and hwy 68
Log of Monitoring Activities	cleared vehicles and equipment at staging area.



confirmed esa and silt fencing intact confirmed storm water protection around construction



General Project Site Photo(s)



finished ARV on Lower Ragsdale



view of intact silt fencing surrounding staging area



secondary view of intact silt fencing surrounding staging area



additional view of intact silt fencing surrounding staging area



intact esa fencing around protected oak tree adjacent to staging area

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT



4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDU	CATION
4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?	N/A No X Yes
WEAT Notes	
/ 4.6-1c - GENERAL	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	
4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	N/A
	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	N/A
	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	N/A
	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X N/A
	No Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-	N/A
status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the	X N/A



vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?		No Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	 X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	 X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	 X	N/A No Yes
General Notes		

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS



4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	 X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A No Yes
Nesting Bird Notes		

MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No Yes
Radger Notes	

Badger Notes

MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey duskyfooted woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction





4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the N/A biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction N/A activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed N/A Ves Yes 4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified N/A biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction N/A fencing? N/A 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak N/A breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? N/A 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No X Yes N/A
woodrat nests? No X Yes 4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the No 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? N/A 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A
XYes4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?N/A No Yes4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?XN/A No Yes4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?N/A No No NoN/A No
biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction Image: N/A fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the No 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X Yes 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X N/A disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak No No breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? No Yes 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No
fencing? INO 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? N/A 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A
X Yes 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X N/A disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak N/A No breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to Yes 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A
disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?
young woodrats? Yes 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No
avoided?
X Vec
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior X N/A noted?
No
Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan X N/A followed?
No
Yes

Woodrat Notes

MN	14.6-	1p - I	INVAS	IVE PL	ANIS	

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?		N/A
		No
	Χ	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials		
and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site		N/A
access points)?		No
	Х	Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to		N/A
unaffected areas?		No
		Yes
		103
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or		N/A



ther sites? No
X Yes
initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil s at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were cted until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?
Image: Second and plant magnetics: Image: No X Yes
nent and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work ted using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to
o returning to applicable work areas if used on another project No X
fied, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials and areas) were used for the project?
No X Yes
5
servation
oserved?
Yes





Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	87952
Survey Date	04/21/2020
User	Max Hofmarcher
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Project Location Monitored	 X Lower Ragsdale Drive X Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area X Wilson Road
Company Name	AECOM X DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	07:00 AM
Time Out	04:15 AM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F)	54
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	9
End Temperature (F)	60
End Cloud Cover (%)	25
End Wind Speed (mph)	8
Detailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	installation of 10ft of pipeline at the Ragsdale/hwy 68 intersection.
Log of Monitoring Activities	cleared vehicles and equipment prior to work start walked alignment and surveyed



for bird nests, AB, and MDFW. Confirmed BMP around construction



General Project Site Photo(s)



View of intact silt fencing surrounding the staging yard.



View of intact silt fencing surrounding the staging yard.



View of ESA fencing surrounding protected oak tree adjacent to



View of BMP useage adjacent to construction on Ragsdale Drive.



View of intact silt fencing surrounding the staging yard.

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT



4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUC	CATION
4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?	N/A No X Yes
WEAT Notes	
/ 4.6-1c - GENERAL	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	
4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	
	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	
	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	□ N/A
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X N/A
	└── No └── Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-	N/A
status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	No X Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the	X N/A



vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?		No Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	 X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	 X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	 X	N/A No Yes
General Notes		

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS



4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	 X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A No Yes
Nesting Bird Notes		

MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No Yes
Radger Notes	

Badger Notes

MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED WOODRAT

4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey duskyfooted woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction





4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the N/A biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction N/A activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed N/A Ves Yes 4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified N/A biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction N/A fencing? N/A 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak N/A breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? N/A 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No X Yes N/A	disturbance areas?	X	Yes
woodrat nests? No X Yes 4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the No 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? N/A 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A	biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction		N/A
XYes4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?N/A No Yes4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?XN/A No Yes4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?N/A No No NoN/A No			No
biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction Image: N/A fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the No 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X Yes 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X N/A disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak No No breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? No Yes 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No		Х	Yes
fencing? INO 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? N/A 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A			N/A
X Yes 4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction X N/A disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak N/A No breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to Yes 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A			No
disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats? 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	rencing?	Χ	Yes
young woodrats? Yes 4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No	disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak	X	N/A
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided? N/A No			No
avoided?	young woodrats:		Yes
			N/A
X Yes			No
		Χ	Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior X N/A noted?		Χ	N/A
No			No
Yes			Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan X N/A followed?		X	N/A
No			No
Yes			Yes

Woodrat Notes

MN	14.6-	1p - I	INVAS	IVE PL	ANIS	

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?		N/A
		No
	Χ	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials		
and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site		N/A
access points)?		No
	Х	Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to		N/A
unaffected areas?		No
		Yes
		103
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or		N/A



be transported to other sites?	No
	X Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?	N/A
not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments:	X Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to	□ N/A
initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	No X Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	N/A
	No X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
ensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No
	Yes
dditional Notes	





Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	87499
Survey Date	04/22/2020
User	Patric Krabacher
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?	No Ves
Project Location Monitored	 X Lower Ragsdale Drive X Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area X Wilson Road
Company Name	AECOM X DDA
Monitor Name	Patric Krabacher
Time In	06:59 AM
Time Out	03:58 PM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F)	48
Start Cloud Cover (%)	10
Start Wind Speed (mph)	2
End Temperature (F)	66
End Cloud Cover (%)	0
End Wind Speed (mph)	12
Detailed Monitoring Activity	

Construction Activities Monitored

AECOM

Continued work on Tie-in on Blue Larkspur Lane and Wilson Road.

Page 1 of 8

Log of Monitoring Activities

MPE has started testing the pipeline for any imperfections.

Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and along alignment.

Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.

Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.

Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent to construction activities.

Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.



General Project Site Photo(s)



MPE and Cal Am testing the pipeline from an access point located on Blue Larkspur Ln.



Active bushtit nest along Lower Ragsdale Rd.



Air Release Valve (ARV) post construction activities.

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT 4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION 4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat? N/A No X Yes



MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	
4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	r N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special- status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X N/A No Yes



4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	N/A No



	X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	
	X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	No No
	Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	

MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No Yes
Badger Notes	

MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED	WOODRAT
4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky- footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	N/A No X Yes



4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X	N/A No Yes
Woodrat Notes		

MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?		N/A No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site		N/A
access points)?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to		N/A
unaffected areas?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or		N/A
be transported to other sites?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil		N1/A
and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?		N/A
not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?		No



	X Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to	N/A
initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	No
Site:	X Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	N/A
(of the straw in upland areas) were used for the project.	No
	X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
Sensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No
	Yes
Additional Notes	





Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements Checklist

Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	87762
Survey Date	04/23/2020
User	Patric Krabacher
General Information	
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?	X No Yes
Project Location Monitored	 X Lower Ragsdale Drive X Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area X Wilson Road
Company Name	AECOM X DDA
Monitor Name	Patric Krabacher
Time In	06:50 AM
Time Out	03:02 PM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F)	49
Start Cloud Cover (%)	100
Start Wind Speed (mph)	2
End Temperature (F)	65
End Cloud Cover (%)	20
End Wind Speed (mph)	13
Detailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	MPE continued pressure testing pipeline.
Log of Monitoring Activities	Conducted wildlife clearance



survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and along alignment.

Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.

Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.

Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent to construction activities.

Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

General Project Site Photo(s)



MPE conducting pressure testing of pipeline



MPE staging area trash cans have been emptied.

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT 4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION 4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat? A.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat? WEAT Notes



MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	
4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	r N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least 50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special- status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X N/A No Yes



4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS

4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	N/A No



	X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	□ N/A
	L No
	X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	No
	Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	

MM 4.6-1j - BADGER

4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	□ N/A
	No
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days	N/A
prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent	
and impacts avoided?	N/A
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	No
	Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A
	Yes
Badger Notes	

MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT

4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED	WOODRAT
4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky- footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	N/A No X Yes



4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	 Х	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X	N/A No Yes
Woodrat Notes		

MM 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS

4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?		N/A
ior invasive plants (c.g., in staging areas) was avolued:		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6.1 n. 4. Vahielas and equipment were inspected for wood coods and/or propagulas		
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to		N/A
unaffected areas?		No
		Yes
		165
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or		N/A
be transported to other sites?		
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were		N/A
not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?		No



	X Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	N/A
	No
	X Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	N/A
	No
	X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
Sensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No
	Yes
Additional Notes	



APPENDIX B CPUC Inspection Logs



Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP)

Daily Monitoring Log

Date: 04/24/2020		Time: 11:15 – 12:15
Report Code: MPWSP_20200424	_sd	
Project Site: Ryan Ranch – Bishop	o Interconnection Improve	ments
Compliance Level:		
• —	0: Unanticipated Event	
Compliance Advisory or Non-Compliance form attached	Yes □ No ⊠	Photo Documentation Yes No
Type of Monitoring:		
Full-time 🗌 Biological 🔀	Spot-check 🖂 Re-inspection 🗌	

Construction Activity(s) Being Monitored:

• Pipeline pressure testing on Ragsdale Drive near Highway 68. Work being conducted by Monterey Peninsula Engineers (MPE).

General Summary of Mitigation Compliance and Site Conditions:

- Denise Duffy & Associates (CalAm monitors) on site for compliance monitoring.
- All work is restricted to existing roadways.
- Special status species plants (i.e., *Horkelia cuneata ssp.*) have been flagged along the entire construction area.
- Any woodrat middens along Lower Ragsdale Drive have been flagged to demarcate the area and prevent any construction related impacts to middens.
- CalAm monitor on site monitoring behavior at known bird nest locations (1 active crow nest and 2 active bushtit nests). No work was being conducted near known nesting bird locations.
- The work staging and equipment staging area is located at an existing graveled area adjacent to the east side of York Road at Highway 68. Tree protection and silt fencing around the perimeter of the staging area are in good condition.
- No compliance issues were noted by ESA monitor.



550 Kearny Street Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94108 415.896.5900 main phone

Sharon Dulava ESA Monitor



