

550 Kearny Street Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94108 415.896.5900 phone 415.896.0332 fax

### memorandum

date June 1, 2020

to John Forsythe, AICP

cc Cory Barringhaus (ESA), Eric Zigas (ESA)

from Sharon Dulava (ESA)

subject MPWSP – Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Project Weekly Report (05/25/2020 –

05/28/2020)

#### **Construction Activities**

Construction-related activities during the week of 5/25/2020 - 5/28/2020 were conducted by Monterey Peninsula Engineering (MPE) and consisted of conducting staging yard operations such as cleaning, organization, and upkeep on 5/26/2020 and 5/28/2020. No other construction activities occurred this week. Additional information is included in the weekly CalAm report included in **Appendix A**.

#### **Compliance Activities**

ESA did not conduct a site inspection during the week of 5/25/2020 - 5/28/2020. Denise Duffy & Associates (CalAm monitors) were on site for compliance monitoring and nest monitoring daily.

Special status species plants (i.e., *Horkelia cuneata ssp.*) have been flagged along the entire construction area. In accordance with Mitigation Measures 4.6-1i, 4.6-1j, and 4.6-1k, CalAm monitors surveyed sections of the alignment ahead of construction daily for nesting birds, Monterey dusky-footed woodrat, and American badger. No sign of American badger, or additional woodrat nests were reported for the period between 5/25/2020 and 5/28/2020. CalAm monitors continued to monitor one crow nest on Lower Ragsdale Drive (near Station 37+00), one swallow nest on along Wilson Road (near Station 16+00), one bushtit nest along Lower Ragsdale Drive (near Station 30+00), and a black phoebe nest located under a flatbed truck parked within the staging area for any behavioral changes resulting from project activities. No deviation from behavioral baselines was reported for any of these nests.

#### Compliance Issues and Resolutions

No compliance issues were reported during the week of 5/25/2020 - 5/28/2020.

# **APPENDIX A**

# CalAm Weekly Report



DATE: May 28, 2020

TO: Cory Barringhaus, Environmental Science Associates (ESA)

FROM: Matthew Johnson, Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. (DD&A)

CC: Even Holmboe, ESA Sharon Dulava, ESA Tyler Potter, DD&A

**RE:** MPWSP Construction Weekly Biological Monitoring Report

Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc. (DD&A) is contracted with AECOM to provide biological monitoring support for the California American Water Company (CalAm) Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP). Biological monitoring includes providing environmental guidance to construction personnel and ensuring the project remains in compliance with the Mitigation, Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP).

This report summarizes the results of monitoring for the week of May 22 - May 28, 2020.

Project/Component:	Work Location:	
Ryan Ranch – Bishop Interconnection Project	Ragsdale Drive. Lower Ragsdale Drive, Wilson Road,	
	York Road, Blue Larkspur Lane and Staging Area	
Monitoring Period:	Project Completion Status:	
5/22/2020 - 5/28/2020	5,887 Linear Feet of Pipeline Installation (Pipeline	
	Alignment Complete), Pressure Reduction Valve	
	(PRV) Installation, Air Release Valve (ARV) Cage	
	Installation.	
Construction Contractors/Personnel:	Biological Lead:	
Monterey Peninsula Engineering	M. Johnson	
Biological Monitors:	Days on Site:	
P. Krabacher, M. Hofmarcher	5/22, 5/26, 5/27, 5/28	

Biological Surveys:	WEAT Training:
Nesting Bird, American Badger, Monterey Dusky- No	
Footed Woodrat (MDFW) Nests	
New Sensitive Resources:	SWPPP Corrective Actions/Maintenance:
No	No
Encountered Special-Status Species:	Hazardous Spills:
No	No
Relocated Plants or Wildlife:	Compliance Issues:
No	No

#### **Summary of Construction Activities**

This section is intended to provide a brief summary of daily construction progress. For a more detailed description of construction activities please refer to the daily logs prepared by CalAm's Inspector.

- **5/22/2020** 
  - MPE installing ARV cages along Lower Ragsdale Drive.
  - MPE installing PRV components on Blue Larkspur Lane.
- **5/25/2020** 
  - No work onsite (Memorial Day)
- **5**/26/2020
  - MPE conducting staging yard operations (cleaning/organizing/upkeep).
- **5**/27/2020
  - No work observed during monitoring events.
- **5**/28/2020
  - MPE conducting staging yard operations (cleaning/organizing/upkeep).

#### **Summary of Monitoring Activities**

- **5/22/2020** 
  - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and on alignment.
  - Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
  - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.
  - Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities within 300 feet at the active bushtit (*Psaltriparus minimus*) nest located at Lower Ragsdale Drive, the active swallow (*Hirundo* ssp.) nest located at Wilson Road, and the active American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*) nest adjacent to Wilson Pond. The nests were determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
  - Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns at the active black phoebe (Sayornis nigricans) nest located within the staging area. The nest was determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
  - Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent and ahead of construction.
  - Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment.
  - Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
- **5/26/2020** 
  - Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area
  - Walked staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.
  - Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area throughout day.

- Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities within 300 feet at the active bushtit nest located at Lower Ragsdale Drive, the active swallow nest located at Wilson Road, and the active American crow nest adjacent to Wilson Pond. The nests were determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
- Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns at the active black phoebe nest located within the staging area. The nest was determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
- Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment.
- Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

#### **5/27/2020**

- No work observed during monitoring period.
- Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities within 300 feet at the active bushtit nest located at Lower Ragsdale Drive, the active swallow nest located at Wilson Road, and the active American crow nest adjacent to Wilson Pond. The nests were determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
- Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns at the active black phoebe nest located within the staging area. The nest was determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
- Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment.
- Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

#### **5**/28/2020

- No work observed during monitoring period.
- Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities within 300 feet at the active bushtit nest located at Lower Ragsdale Drive, the active swallow nest located at Wilson Road, and the active American crow nest adjacent to Wilson Pond. The nests were determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed.
- Conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns at the active black phoebe nest located within the staging area. The nest was determined to still be active and no deviation from the baseline behavioral pattern was observed. It was observed that the eggs have hatched.
- Confirmed continued usage of hazardous spill protective measures under vehicles and equipment at end of day.
- Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

#### **Environmental Compliance Issues**

DD&A did not observe any compliance issues during this monitoring period.

#### Recommendations

No adaptive management or mitigation is required.

#### Attachments

Daily Monitoring Logs

# Daily Monitoring Logs



Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	94324
Survey Date	05/22/2020
User	Max Hofmarcher
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?	X No Yes
Project Location Monitored	<ul><li>X Lower Ragsdale Drive</li><li>Ragsdale Drive</li><li>Staging Area</li><li>X Wilson Road</li></ul>
Company Name	X DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	07:45 AM
Time Out	10:00 AM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F)	56
Start Cloud Cover (%)	30
Start Wind Speed (mph)	15
End Temperature (F)	61
End Cloud Cover (%)	50
End Wind Speed (mph)	15
Detailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	MPE installing protective cages around ARV valves along Lower Ragsdale Drive.



Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and along alignment.

Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area from the hours of 0630 to 0830.

Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.

Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent to construction activities.

Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

MM6.4-1i, DD&A conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities at the active Black phoebe (Sayornis nigricans) nest in the staging area.

Observed and photographed black phoebe chicks at the staging area nest site.



#### General Project Site Photo(s)



Intact exclusionary flagging surrounding protected black phoebe nest within staging area.



Intact ESA fencing surrounding protected oak tree adjacent to staging area.



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



MPE installing protective cages around ARV valves on Lower Ragsdale Drive.



WIWI 4.0-TD - WEAT	
4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUC	ATION
4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?	N/A
	No
	X Yes
WEAT Notes	
MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	
4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior	□ N/A
to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area	
boundary or local road network?	□ N/A
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
less speed limit?	N/A
	No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?	N/A
marked to define the limits.	No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat	□ N/A
due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least	
50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	∐ N/A
	□ No
4.6.4. 7. Letter divertice of exertic plant are size exertical three celebrations are related to	X Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?	N/A
	No
	X Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X N/A
mechanical means have been deemed menecuve?	No
	Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles	
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-	□ N/A
status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	X Yes
	<u> </u>



4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	

MM 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS



4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	□ N/A
	No
	X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	N/A
	X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	☐ No ☐ Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	
MM 4.6-1j - BADGER	
4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	□ N/A
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	N/A
	☐ No X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan	X N/A
followed?	No No
	Yes
Badger Notes	
AMA CAL, WOODDAT	
MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT	
4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED	WOODRAT
4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat	□ N/A



	disturbance areas?  4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction	X	No Yes N/A	
	activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	X	No Yes	
	4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X	N/A No Yes	
	Woodrat Notes			
M	M 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS			
	4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS			
	4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?	X	N/A No Yes	
	4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?	X	N/A No Yes	



4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	N/A No X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
Sensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No Yes
Additional Notes	





Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	95175
Survey Date	05/26/2020
User	Max Hofmarcher
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?	X No Yes
Project Location Monitored	Lower Ragsdale Drive Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area X Wilson Road
Company Name	X DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	07:45 AM
Time Out	09:00 AM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F)	60
Start Cloud Cover (%)	0
Start Wind Speed (mph)	1
End Temperature (F)	62
End Cloud Cover (%)	0
End Wind Speed (mph)	3
Detailed Manitoring Activity	
Detailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	MPE conducting staging yard operations (cleaning/repairing backhoe bucket)



Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and along alignment.

Conducted surveys for nesting birds, MDFW nests, and American badger dens along pipeline alignment from Ragsdale Drive Station 10+00 to Station 22+66 (end of alignment at Blue Larkspur Lane and Citation Court) and in/around staging area from the hours of 0630 to 0830.

Walked alignment and staging area during construction activities and conducted daily monitoring requirements per MM 4.6-1c.

Confirmed integrity of BMP measures on stormwater drains immediately adjacent to construction activities.

Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.

MM6.4-1i, DD&A conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities at the active Black phoebe (Sayornis nigricans) nest in the staging area.

Observed adult black phoebe at the staging area nest site.



#### General Project Site Photo(s)



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



Intact ESA fencing surrounding protected oak tree adjacent to staging area.

# MM 4.6-1b - WEAT 4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCATION 4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat? N/A No X yes WEAT Notes MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL 4.6-1c. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES 4.6-1c. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area? N/A No X Yes



4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or		N/A
less speed limit?		
	X	No
A.C. 4. A. Everywheel as the shortled in districts of a second solid constitution and		Yes
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least		N/A
50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	$\overline{\Box}$	No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical		163
removal and prevention?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X	N/A
		No
		No Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles		Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work		Yes N/A
		Yes N/A No
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?		Yes N/A No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the	X X	Yes N/A No Yes N/A
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior		Yes N/A No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?		Yes N/A No Yes N/A
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the		Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities	X	Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion	X	Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?  4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were	X	Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?  4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks	X	Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?  4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the	X	Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?  4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?  4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a	X X X	Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?  4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?  4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches	X X X	Yes  N/A  No Yes
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special-status wildlife from entering the site during construction?  4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?  4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?  4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?  4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a	X X X	Yes  N/A  No Yes



poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	
M 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No



	L Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	
MM 4.6-1j - BADGER	
4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A
within 100 feet of the project area boundary.	X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent	X Yes
and impacts avoided?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	☐ No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No
	Yes
Badger Notes	
ANA A C 11c MOODDAT	
MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT	
4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED V	VOODRAT
4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky- footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction	N/A
disturbance areas?	X Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction	N/A
activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	X Yes
4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction	N/A
fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	No X Yes
4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction	
disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to	X N/A No
young woodrats?	Yes



4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A
		No
4641.716.1		Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X	N/A
		No Yes
Woodrat Notes		res
M 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site		N/A
access points)?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to		N/A
unaffected areas?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or		N/A
be transported to other sites?		No
	X	Yes
4.6.1 n. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove sail		163
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were		N/A
not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to		N/A
initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project		No
site?	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials	<u> </u>	
(or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?		N/A
		No



	X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
Sensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No
Additional Notes	└── Yes
Additional Notes	





Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	95510
Survey Date	05/27/2020
User	Max Hofmarcher
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?  Reason for Non-Work:	No X Yes  Weather Delay Construction Equipment X Other
Other reason for Non-Work day:	Waiting on PRV parts.
Project Location Monitored	Lower Ragsdale Drive Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area Wilson Road
Company Name	X DDA
Monitor Name	Max Hofmarcher
Time In	12:00 PM
Time Out	02:00 PM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F) Start Cloud Cover (%) Start Wind Speed (mph)	70 0 4
End Temperature (F)	72
End Cloud Cover (%)	0
End Wind Speed (mph)	6



tailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	No construction observed on site today.
Log of Monitoring Activities	Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and along alignment.
	Photographed and recorded monitoring activities.
	MM6.4-1i, DD&A conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities at the active Black phoebe (Sayornis nigricans) nest in the staging area.
	Observed adult black phoebe at the staging area nest site. Confirmed two black phoebe chicks inside nest.



#### General Project Site Photo(s)



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.



Intact exclusionary surrounding protected blacm phoebe nest within stahing area.



Intact silt fencing surrounding staging area.

M 4.6-1b - WEAT	
4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND EDUCA	ATION
4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?	N/A No X Yes
WEAT Notes	
M 4.6-1c - GENERAL	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES	
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES 4.6-1C. 1. Construction footprint, staging areas, equipment access routes, and disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?	N/A No X Yes



	Ш	No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?		N/A No Yes
4.6.16.4. Everyated soils stackpilled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and		
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and marked to define the limits?		N/A No Yes
		165
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?	X	N/A No Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least		N/A
50 feet from drainages and native habitats?	$\Box$	
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?		N/A
Terrioval and prevention:		No
	X	Yes
		165
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?	X	N/A
		No
		Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles	$\overline{\Box}$	
and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work		N/A
area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special- status wildlife from entering the site during construction?		No
status whalle from entering the site during construction.	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior	X	N/A
to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?		
vicinity of the drining drift the drining moved of its own outside of the project dreat		No
		Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion	X	N/A
area to ensure that no special-status species were present?		No
	$\overline{\Box}$	
4.C. 4.2. All according to the construction of		Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the		N/A
close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks		No
positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a		
construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches		N/A
or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	Ш	No
, pp. s., s.	X	Yes



poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	
M 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No



	L Yes
Nesting Bird Notes	
MM 4.6-1j - BADGER	
4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A
within 100 feet of the project area boundary.	X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent	X Yes
and impacts avoided?	N/A No
	X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	☐ No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No
	Yes
Badger Notes	
ANA A C 11c MOODDAT	
MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT	
4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED V	VOODRAT
4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky- footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction	N/A
disturbance areas?	X Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction	N/A
activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	X Yes
4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction	N/A
fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	No X Yes
4.6-1k. 4. Active woodrat nests located within the anticipated construction	
disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to	X N/A No
young woodrats?	Yes



4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X	N/A
		No
4641.716.1		Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X	N/A
		No Yes
Woodrat Notes		res
M 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS		
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?		N/A
		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site		N/A
access points)?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to		N/A
unaffected areas?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or		N/A
be transported to other sites?		No
	X	Yes
4.6.1 n. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove sail		163
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were		N/A
not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?		No
	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to		N/A
initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project		No
site?	X	Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials	<u> </u>	
(or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?		N/A
		No



	X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
Sensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No
Additional Notes	└── Yes
Additional Notes	





Ryan Ranch Bio Compliance Checklist - Phase 1 v3	
Project	Ryan Ranch-Bishop Interconnection Improvements
ID	95529
Survey Date	05/28/2020
User	Patric Krabacher
General Information	
Project Name	Cal Am Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project
Project Number:	60489016
Is this a Non-Work day?	X No Yes
Other reason for Non-Work day:	Waiting on PRV parts.
Project Location Monitored	Lower Ragsdale Drive Ragsdale Drive X Staging Area Wilson Road
Company Name	AECOM X DDA
Monitor Name	Patric Krabacher
Time In	06:55 AM
Time Out	08:00 AM
Weather	
Start Temperature (F)	60
Start Cloud Cover (%)	0
Start Wind Speed (mph)	4
End Temperature (F)	65
End Cloud Cover (%)	0
End Wind Speed (mph)	6
Detailed Monitoring Activity	
Construction Activities Monitored	No construction observed during morning clearance



survey. MPE arrived as DDA was leaving site. Log of Monitoring Activities Conducted wildlife clearance survey on all vehicles and equipment at staging area and along alignment. Photographed and recorded monitoring activities. MM6.4-1i, DD&A conducted continuous monitoring to detect any behavioral changes from the baseline behavioral patterns established prior to construction activities at the active Black phoebe (Sayornis nigricans) nest in the staging area. Observed adult black phoebe at

the staging area nest site. Confirmed black phoebe chicks

inside nest.

#### General Project Site Photo(s)



Black phoebe chicks inside nest at MPE staging area.

MM 4.6-1b - WEAT		
4.6-1B. CONSTRUCTION WORKER ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS TRAINING AND	EDUCATION	
4.6-1b. 1. All workers attend WEAT training and have sticker on hardhat?	N/A No X Yes	
WEAT Notes		
MM 4.6-1c - GENERAL		
4.6-1C. GENERAL AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES		



disposal or temporary placement of spoils, delineated with stakes and flagging prior to construction to avoid natural resources outside of the project area?		N/A No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 2. Construction vehicles within the delineated construction work area boundary or local road network?		N/A
	X	No Yes
4.6-1c. 3.Vehicles and equipment in project area maintaining 15 miles per hour or less speed limit?		N/A
		No
4.6-1c. 4. Excavated soils stockpiled in disturbed areas lacking native vegetation and	X	Yes
marked to define the limits?		N/A No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 5. Standard best management practices employed to prevent loss of habitat due to erosion caused by project related impacts?		N/A
		No Yes
4.6-1c. 6. Fueling of construction equipment within existing paved areas and at least	X	Yes
50 feet from drainages and native habitats?		N/A No
	X	Yes
4.6-1c. 7. Introduction of exotic plant species avoided through physical or chemical removal and prevention?		N/A
	X	No Yes
4.6-1c. 8. Use of herbicides as vegetation control measures used only when	X	N/A
mechanical means have been deemed ineffective?		No
		Yes
4.6-1c. 9. Prior to construction at any site where special-status amphibians, reptiles and mammals have a moderate or high potential to occur, the construction work		N/A
area boundary was fenced with a temporary exclusion fence to prevent special- status wildlife from entering the site during construction?	X	No Yes
4.6-1c. 10. If special-status wildlife species were found on the site immediately prior	X	N/A
to construction or during project construction, construction activities ceased in the vicinity of the animal until the animal moved on its own outside of the project area?		No
		Yes
4.6-1c. 11. Immediately prior to conducting vegetation removal or grading activities inside fenced exclusion areas, qualified biologist(s) surveyed within the exclusion area to ensure that no special-status species were present?	X	N/A
area to ensure that no special-status species were present?		No Yes
4.6-1c. 12. All excavated, steep-walled holes or trenches more than 2 feet deep were		N/A
inspected for trapped animals and covered with plywood or similar materials at the close of each work day, or escape ramps constructed of earth fill or wooden planks		No
positioned within the excavations to allow special-status wildlife to escape on their own?	X	Yes



4.6-1c. 13. All construction pipes, culverts, or similar structures that are stored at a construction site for one or more overnight periods and with a diameter of 4 inches or more were inspected for special-status wildlife before the pipe was subsequently buried, capped, or otherwise used or moved in any way?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 14. All vertical tubes used in project construction, such as chain link fencing poles or signage mounts, were temporarily or permanently capped at the time they are installed to avoid the entrapment and death of special status birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 15. Water used for dust abatement was minimized in an effort to avoid the formation of puddles that could attract common ravens and other predators to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 16. Parked vehicles or equipment in the project area were inspected underneath for wildlife prior to moving?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 17. All vehicles and equipment were in proper working condition to ensure that there was no potential for fugitive emissions of motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, grease, or other hazardous materials?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 18. Trash and food items were contained in closed containers and removed from the construction site daily to reduce the attractiveness to opportunistic predators such as common ravens, coyotes, and feral dogs?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 19. Workers did not feed wildlife and bring pets and firearms to the construction work areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1c. 20. Workers did not intentionally kill or collect wildlife species, including special-status species in the project area and surrounding areas?	N/A No X Yes
General Notes	
M 4.6-1i - NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-11. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR NESTING BIRDS	
4.6-1i. 2. Surveys covered all potential nesting sites within 500 feet of the project area for raptors and within 300 feet for other birds?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 3. If a break of 10 days or more in construction activities during the breeding season, a new nesting bird survey was conducted before re-initiating construction?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1i. 4. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	N/A No



	X Yes
4.6-1i. 5. If special-status bird species were observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A
	□ No □ vos
Nesting Bird Notes	└── Yes
MM 4.6-1j - BADGER	
4.6-1J. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR AMERICAN BADGER.	
4.6-1j. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for American badger dens in suitable habitat prior to the start of construction at potentially affected sites within 100 feet of the project area boundary?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 2. Along pipeline alignments, surveys were phased to occur within 14 days prior to disturbance along that portion of the alignment?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 3. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities, badgers absent and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1j. 4. If a badger was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1j. 5. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No Yes
Badger Notes	
MM 4.6-1k - WOODRAT	
4.6-1K. AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION MEASURES FOR MONTEREY DUSKY-FOOTED V	WOODRAT
4.6-1k. 1. Qualified biologist conducted preconstruction surveys for Monterey dusky-footed woodrat within 14 days prior to the start of construction in suitable habitat and identify any woodrat nests located within 50 feet of anticipated construction disturbance areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1k. 2. If woodrat nests were found during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist conducted additional surveys throughout the duration of construction activities at the potentially affected facility site to identify any newly constructed woodrat nests?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1k. 3. If nests were observed outside of the construction area, the qualified biologist demarcated a minimum 50-foot buffer area with orange construction fencing and required all construction activities and disturbance remain outside of the fencing?	N/A No X Yes



4.6-1k. 4. Active woodraft nests located within the anticipated construction disturbance areas were relocated outside of the peak breeding season, (peak breeding season is typically February through November) to minimize disturbance to young woodrats?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 5. Clearance surveys were performed prior to work activities and impacts avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1k. 6. If woodrat was observed, was date, time, species, location, and behavior noted?	X N/A No Yes
4.6-1k. 7. If relocation was necessary, were the guidelines in the relocation plan followed?	X N/A No Yes
Woodrat Notes	
M 4.6-1p - INVASIVE PLANTS	
4.6-1P.CONTROL MEASURES FOR SPREAD OF INVASIVE PLANTS	
4.6-1p. 1. Driving or operating equipment was avoided in weed-infested areas outside of fenced work areas and travel was restricted to established roads?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 2. Leaving exposed soil or construction materials in areas with the potential for invasive plants (e.g., in staging areas) was avoided?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 3. Tools, equipment, and vehicles were clean before transporting materials and before entering and leaving worksites (e.g., wheel washing stations at Project site access points)?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 4. Vehicles and equipment were inspected for weed seeds and/or propagules stuck in tire treads or mud on the vehicle to minimize the risk of carrying them to unaffected areas?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 5. Vehicles and equipment inspected prior to project initiation at applicable work areas for weed seeds and plant fragments that could colonize within the site or be transported to other sites?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 6. At project initiation, all construction vehicles were cleaned to remove soil and plant fragments at designated locations, and vehicles or equipment that were not clean were rejected until clear of weed seed and plant fragments?	N/A No X Yes
4.6-1p. 7. All equipment and tools involved in soil disturbance at applicable work areas were disinfected using a 10% bleach or 70% isopropyl alcohol solution prior to initial use or prior to returning to applicable work areas if used on another project site?	N/A No



	X Yes
4.6-1p. 8. Only certified, weed-free, plastic-free imported erosion control materials (or rice straw in upland areas) were used for the project?	N/A No X Yes
Invasive Plant Notes	
ensitive Species Observation	
Sensitive species observed?	X No Yes
dditional Notes	

