
From: parkwright1@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Amy Wright <parkwright1@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2025 2:55 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Amy Wright
707 Edge Ln Los Altos, CA 94024-4908
parkwright1@gmail.com

From: michele.young@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Michele Young
<michele.young@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 11:57 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Michele Young
1059 Lick Ave San Jose, CA 95110-3205
michele.young@cep.sccgov.org

From: amy_yu@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Amy Yu <amy_yu@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 5, 2025 12:10 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Amy Yu
1012 Havre Ct Sunnyvale, CA 94087-4062
amy_yu@yahoo.com

From: christineslocomb@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Christine Zack
<christineslocomb@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2025 8:59 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Christine Zack
2118 Violet Way Campbell, CA 95008-3932 christineslocomb@gmail.com

From: renarich@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Rena Zahorsky <renarich@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2025 9:22 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Rena Zahorsky
323 S 12th St San Jose, CA 95112-2229
renarich@pacbell.net

From: kzeidenstein@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Kathryn Zeidenstein
<kzeidenstein@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 1:01 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

Hello!

As a PGE ratepayer and a volunteer for nature programs in the Coyote Valley, I'm asking you to choose locate the Grove terminal at the PG&E substation for the preferred path forward for the he Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Zeidenstein
4869 Pepperwood Way San Jose, CA 95124-5219 kzeidenstein@yahoo.com

From: inor@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of R. Zierikzee <inor@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2025 12:17 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
R. Zierikzee
845 Euclid Ave Apt 4 San Francisco, CA 94118-2520 inor@earthlink.net

From: s.zohar221@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Sharon Zohar <s.zohar221@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 11:50 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

I am using an email draft from an organization called Green Foothills because I am not good at writing these emails myself while their pre-drafted email is detailed and concise in a way I cannot improve - I fully agree with every sentiment and I hope that the form email will not cause you to diminish the importance of this issue or my enthusiasm for the request:

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Sharon Zohar
486 W Sunnyside Ave Unit A Campbell, CA 95008-5372 s.zohar221@gmail.com