
From: oshmail2000-1326@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Mark Luiso <oshmail2000-1326@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2025 12:49 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Mark Luiso
1326 Star Bush Ln San Jose, CA 95118-3543 oshmail2000-1326@yahoo.com

From: maluiso@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Mark Luiso <maluiso@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2025 1:08 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Mark Luiso
1258 Sage Hen Ct San Jose, CA 95118-2043 maluiso@pacbell.net

From: gclyonsden@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Connie Lyons
<gclyonsden@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2025 8:04 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Connie Lyons
18796 Westview Dr Saratoga, CA 95070-3545 gclyonsden@gmail.com

From: sapphiresparkler@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Zhenda MA
<sapphiresparkler@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 7:48 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Zhenda MA
851 W Front St Boise, ID 83702-5806
sapphiresparkler@gmail.com

From: ddm2005@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of David Marancik <ddm2005@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2025 7:15 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
David Marancik
2300 Shibley Ave San Jose, CA 95125-4067 ddm2005@sbcglobal.net

From: jimdar@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of James Marshall <jimdar@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2025 11:30 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
James Marshall
988 Patricia Way San Jose, CA 95125-2369 jimdar@pacbell.net

From: Imatsuhiro@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Linda Matsuhiro
<Imatsuhiro@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 7:07 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Linda Matsuhiro
6541 Purple Cliff Ct San Jose, CA 95119-1923 Imatsuhiro@gmail.com

From: amccarr@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Angela McCarren
<amccarr@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 10:34 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

I am adamantly opposed to choosing a site that would be more expensive, less environmentally sound, harm wildlife, and disrupt Coyote Creek which is already under stress due the Anderson Dam retrofit. Please choose the Metcalf Substation option.

Sincerely,
Angela McCarren
3143 Oakgate Way San Jose, CA 95148-3027 amccarr@jps.net

From: stephen.l.mchenry@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Stephen McHenry
<stephen.l.mchenry@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 9:03 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project has identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative for this electrical project. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley is the only logical location for this new facility. This site would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project, as noted in the draft EIR. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters in majority agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Stephen McHenry
439 Chateau La Salle Dr San Jose, CA 95111-3036 stephen.l.mchenry@gmail.com

From: e839146@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Phyllis McIntosh <e839146@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 3:18 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Phyllis McIntosh
376 Alric Ct San Jose, CA 95123-5601
e839146@gmail.com

From: annette@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Annette McMillan
<annette@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 2:47 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Annette McMillan
2868 Buena Crest Ct San Jose, CA 95121-2958 annette@keepcoyotecreekbeautiful.org

From: avila05@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Christina Medina <avila05@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 8:13 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Christina Medina
1173 Sandstone Ln San Jose, CA 95132-2648 avila05@hotmail.com

From: amena@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Arlette Mena <amena@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 8:34 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Arlette Mena
2690 Vista Verde Dr San Jose, CA 95148-2059 amena@eesd.org

From: max.mikles@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Max Mikles
<max.mikles@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2025 6:32 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

You know this information already, but please choose the environmentally conscious option. Thanks in advance!

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Max Mikles
413 Allegan Cir San Jose, CA 95123-5004 max.mikles@comcast.net

From: donutgirl24@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Socorro Montaño <donutgirl24@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 3:42 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Socorro Montaño
108 McCreery Ave San Jose, CA 95116-2518 donutgirl24@gmail.com

From: lizabethmorell@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Liza Morell
<lizabethmorell@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 26, 2025 12:01 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Thank you for supporting the needs of the greater community and environment and all its inhabitants.

Sincerely,
Liza Morell
PO Box 1676 Aptos, CA 95001-1676
lizabethmorell@gmail.com

From: jmutch805@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jennifer Mutch <jmutch805@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 6:54 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Mutch
775 Minnesota Ave San Jose, CA 95125-1703 jmutch805@gmail.com

From: lorrainemyers@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Lorraine Myers
<lorrainemyers@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 1:09 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Myers
3352 Fronda Dr San Jose, CA 95148-2128
lorrainemyers@yahoo.com

From: clouise@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Christine Nagel <clouise@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 9:42 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Christine Nagel
1263 Yosemite Ave San Jose, CA 95126-2670 clouise@cox.net

From: samnaifeh@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Sam Naifeh <samnaifeh@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 6:45 PM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Locating the Grove terminal at the Metcalf Substation instead of on an orchard in Coyote Valley would significantly reduce the environmental impacts of the project. There is no reason to bulldoze an orchard, dig a trench along nearly a mile of the Coyote Creek Trail, and bore a tunnel underneath Coyote Creek for the additional 1.2-mile-long transmission line that will be needed if the terminal is built on the site under the project as proposed. All of this would increase the cost of the overall project – a cost that would be passed on to the public.

Coyote Valley forms a critical landscape linkage for wildlife to migrate between the Santa Cruz Mountains and the Diablo Range. Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

The Coyote Valley orchard that would be the site for the terminal under the project as proposed is right next to Coyote Creek, which is the backbone of the wildlife corridor through Coyote Valley. Animals that depend on the creek corridor to be able to migrate from the Santa Cruz Mountains to the Diablo Range would be subjected to noise, nighttime lighting, human activity, and other disturbances from the construction and operation of the energy facility.

Monterey Road, where this orchard site is located, is already a wildlife roadkill hotspot. The highest incidence of bobcats, badgers, coyotes, deer, and other animals being killed by cars is right around this location, proving that animals are desperately trying to get across Monterey Road to the safety of Coyote Creek on the other side. Putting a 6-acre energy facility in the path of these animals will only make this problem worse.

Please choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Sam Naifeh
2059 New Brunswick Dr San Mateo, CA 94402-4043 samnaifeh@sbcglobal.net