

## Marisol Guzman

---

**From:** Mila CLNA <mila1.clna@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 25, 2025 8:04 AM  
**To:** Wright, Tharon  
**Cc:** Power Santa Clara Valley  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Power Santa Clara Valley Project, A.24-04-017

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mila H.  
Calpine Dr  
San Jose, CA95123  
[Mila1.clna@gmail.com](mailto:Mila1.clna@gmail.com)  
408-250-9413

On Fri, Jul 25, 2025 at 6:37 AM Wright, Tharon <[Tharon.Wright@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Tharon.Wright@cpuc.ca.gov)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Mila H.,

Thank you for your email. The CPUC acknowledges receipt of your comment on the Power Santa Clara Valley Project Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR). To fully include your comment in the Draft EIR public review record, please provide the reference to the Power Santa Clara Valley Project, A.24-04-017, in the email subject line and include your name, address, and phone number or email address.

Kindly,

**Tharon Wright (she/her)**

Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst IV

CEQA and Energy Permitting Section, CEQA and FERC Branch

Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission

916-594-4699 | [tharon.wright@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:tharon.wright@cpuc.ca.gov)

[www.cpuc.ca.gov](http://www.cpuc.ca.gov) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

---

**From:** Mila CLNA <[mila1.clna@gmail.com](mailto:mila1.clna@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 1:22 PM  
**To:** Wright, Tharon <[Tharon.Wright@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:Tharon.Wright@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Fwd: Support for Alternative Grove 3 – A Smarter, Lower-Impact Location

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Mila CLNA <[mila1.clna@gmail.com](mailto:mila1.clna@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** Thu, Jul 24, 2025 at 1:15 PM  
**Subject:** Support for Alternative Grove 3 – A Smarter, Lower-Impact Location  
**To:** Mila CLNA <[mila1.clna@gmail.com](mailto:mila1.clna@gmail.com)>  
**CC:** San Jose ACTION! <[sanjoseaction@googlegroups.com](mailto:sanjoseaction@googlegroups.com)>, Campos, Pamela <[pamela.campos@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:pamela.campos@sanjoseca.gov)>, Mahan, Matt <[matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:matt.mahan@sanjoseca.gov)>, Ramirez, Lucas <[Lucas.ramirez@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Lucas.ramirez@sanjoseca.gov)>, Dang, Tara <[Tara.Dang@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:Tara.Dang@sanjoseca.gov)>, Maguire, Jennifer <[jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov](mailto:jennifer.maguire@sanjoseca.gov)>, John Leipelt <[john558@icloud.com](mailto:john558@icloud.com)>, <[wanday.wong@gmail.com](mailto:wanday.wong@gmail.com)>, darryl ospring <[darryly2k@sbcglobal.net](mailto:darryly2k@sbcglobal.net)>, <[bestseller2005@yahoo.com](mailto:bestseller2005@yahoo.com)>

Dear CPUC Commissioners,

I'm writing to urge you to adopt Alternative Grove 3 as the preferred site for the LS Power Grid California, LLC's Power Santa Clara Valley Project (Application 24-04-017).

Alternative Grove 3, located at PG&E's existing Metcalf Substation, is clearly the most responsible and community-friendly option. It meets the technical needs of the project while significantly reducing environmental and community impacts compared to building a new terminal in Coyote Valley.

[https://ia.cpuc.ca.gov/environment/info/esa/pscv/pdfs/07\\_PG&E\\_No2.pdf](https://ia.cpuc.ca.gov/environment/info/esa/pscv/pdfs/07_PG&E_No2.pdf)

As a community member, I'm especially concerned about:

- Preserving Coyote Valley's sensitive ecosystems and wildlife corridors
- Avoiding disruption of Coyote Creek and nearby trails
- Minimizing noise, construction traffic, and air pollution in residential areas

Alternative Grove 3 would eliminate the need for trenching through creeks and open space and instead use a short, overhead 500kV connection from the new terminal to Metcalf Substation. According to PG&E's own response, this option is feasible, and they are working cooperatively with LS Power on logistics.

This solution protects nature, respects the community, and still achieves the energy goals of the project. I respectfully request that the CPUC prioritize the long-term environmental health and quality of life of our region by selecting Alternative Grove 3.

Thank you for your leadership and for considering the voices of local residents.

Sincerely,

Mila H.

District 2 San Jose