

Marisol Guzman

From: Mila CLNA <mila1.clna@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 8:00 AM
To: Wright, Tharon
Cc: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Question about Metcalf project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Tharon,

Thanks for the clarification!

Thank you,
Mila

On Fri, Jul 25, 2025 at 6:35 AM Wright, Tharon <Tharon.Wright@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

Dear Ms. Mila,

Thank you for sharing your questions on the Power Santa Clara Valley Project Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR). The CPUC welcomes public comments on the Draft EIR throughout the 45-day public review process, which ends on August 25, 2025. Public participation includes providing oral comments at the upcoming public meeting (scheduled on August 5th) and providing written comments on the Draft EIR. Formal responses to public comments will be provided by the CPUC in the Final EIR.

Chapter 4 of the Draft EIR, Alternatives, describes potentially feasible alternatives to the Project that will foster informed CPUC's decision-making and public participation in the environmental review process. This chapter describes how these alternatives were identified and screened to develop a list of alternatives for analysis. This chapter also compares the environmental impacts of the Project with the alternatives carried forward. In compliance with CEQA, the comparison of alternatives conducted in the CPUC's CEQA environmental review process focuses on potential environmental impacts only. A separate and concurrent need and cost review for the Project is conducted by CPUC as part of the Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity proceeding. More information about these concurrent reviews is available here: [Microsoft Word - EIR Step-by-Step August 2008](#).

Following the CEQA Guidelines on public notice and outreach, a Notice of Availability (NOA) of the Draft EIR (including invitation for a public informational meeting) was posted on July 10, 2025, at the State Clearinghouse, the Santa Clara County Clerk's office, and a local newspaper of major distribution in the Project area. Additionally, the CPUC mailed the NOA to residents located within

300 feet of the Project. Printed copies of the Draft EIR are also available at the Tully, Rose Garden, Santa Teresa, and Edenvale public libraries.

The Power Santa Clara Valley Project is subject to CPUC's regulatory authority. Interested persons may submit written comments on the Draft EIR within the specified public comment period (July 10 – August 25). Written comments should reference the Power Santa Clara Valley Project, A. 24-04-017. Please include your name, address, and phone number or email address so we may contact you for clarification if necessary.

Kindly,

Tharon Wright (she/her)

Public Utilities Regulatory Analyst IV

CEQA and Energy Permitting Section, CEQA and FERC Branch

Energy Division, California Public Utilities Commission

916-594-4699 | tharon.wright@cpuc.ca.gov

www.cpuc.ca.gov | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

From: Mila CLNA <mila1.clna@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2025 9:17 AM

To: Wright, Tharon <Tharon.Wright@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Question about Metcalf project

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Tharon,

What happened to that alternative locations that are not in Coyote Valley for this project?

Is there any mapping of routes for these pipelines that would not require digging under the bike trail or Coyote creek?

What's the cost difference for project if it goes together with the PG&E station or the alternative locations nearby?

Did any outreach happened in the neighborhood or neighborhood associations to invite people to come to the meetings?

Is it ever going to San Jose council for voting?

Thank you,

Mila