
From: judycham@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Judith Chamberlin
<judycham@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 9:04 AM
To: Power Santa Clara Valley
Subject: Please choose the Environmentally Superior Alternative for the Power Santa Clara Valley project

Dear California Public Utilities Commission,

I have worked for a number of years with various organizations to keep Coyote Valley open space and especially to protect wildlife habitat as well as other natural features. I oppose disturbing an orchard and digging a trench to connect to the Metcalf Station. The Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project identified Alternative Combination 1 (AC-1), which locates the Grove terminal at the PG&E Metcalf Substation, as the Environmentally Superior Alternative. The CPUC should choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Numerous scientific studies support conserving all of Coyote Valley as protected open space to ensure the environmental and economic vitality of the greater San José area. Santa Clara County voters agree and have consistently and overwhelmingly demonstrated their support for conservation of Coyote Valley.

I write to you today, as a resident who lives close to Coyote Valley, to choose AC-1 as the preferred path forward for the Power Santa Clara Valley Project.

Sincerely,
Judith Chamberlin
1117 Olive Branch Ln San Jose, CA 95120-5411 judycham@aol.com