

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms and Abbreviations	i
ES Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction.....	ES-1
ES.2 Proposed Project and Project Objectives	ES-3
ES.3 Project Alternatives.....	ES-8
ES.4 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation measures.....	ES-9
ES.5 Cumulative and Growth-Inducing Impacts and other CEQA Considerations.....	ES-30
ES.6 Comparison of the Proposed Project and Alternatives	ES-31
1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Overview of the Proposed Project	1-1
1.2 Environmental Review Process.....	1-3
1.3 Agency Use of this Document	1-4
1.4 Public Review and Comment	1-5
1.5 Reader's Guide to this Draft Environmental Impact Report	1-8
2 Project Description.....	2-1
2.1 Introduction.....	2-1
2.2 Project Objectives	2-1
2.3 Existing Power Network	2-2
2.4 Project Location.....	2-4
2.5 Right-of-Way and Land Disturbance	2-4
2.6 Project Components	2-9
2.7 Construction Activities	2-29
2.8 Operation and Maintenance	2-49
2.9 Anticipated Permits, Approvals, and Notifications	2-52
2.10 Electric and Magnetic Fields	2-52
2.11 Applicant Proposed Measures and HCP/NCCP Operational Protocols	2-56
3 Alternatives	3-1
3.1 Introduction.....	3-1
3.2 Alternatives Development Process	3-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.3	Alternatives Screening Methodology	3-2
3.4	Alternatives Analyzed in the EIR.....	3-7
3.5	No Project Alternative.....	3-16
4	Evaluation of Environmental Impacts	4-1
4.1	Aesthetics	4.1-1
4.2	Agriculture and Forestry	4.2-1
4.3	Air Quality	4.3-1
4.4	Biological Resources	4.4-1
4.5	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	4.5-1
4.6	Geology and Soils.....	4.6-1
4.7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.7-1
4.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	4.8-1
4.9	Hydrology and Water Quality	4.9-1
4.10	Land Use	4.10-1
4.11	Noise	4.11-1
4.12	Public Services.....	4.12-1
4.13	Recreation.....	4.13-1
4.14	Transportation and Traffic	4.14-1
4.15	Utilities and Service Systems.....	4.15-1
5	Cumulative Impacts	5-1
5.1	Cumulative Scenario Projects	5-1
5.2	Analysis of Cumulative Impacts.....	5-6
6	Comparison of Alternatives	6-1
6.1	Introduction.....	6-1
6.2	Comparison Methodology	6-1
6.3	Evaluation of Project Alternatives.....	6-2
6.4	Environmentally Superior Alternative	6-8
6.5	No Project Alternative vs. Environmentally Superior Alternative	6-8
7	CEQA Statutory Sections	7-1
7.1	Growth-Inducing Effects.....	7-1
7.2	Significant Environmental Effects that Cannot be AVOIDed.....	7-2
7.3	Significant Irreversible Changes	7-3
7.4	Impacts on Human beings.....	7-4
7.5	Energy Conservation	7-5
7.6	Effects Not Found to be Significant.....	7-7
8	Report Preparation	8-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

8.1	List of Preparers.....	8-1
8.2	Agencies and Organizations Consulted	8-2
9	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan	9-1
9.1	Overview	9-1
9.2	Minor Project Modifications	9-2
9.3	Dispute Resolution	9-3
9.4	Compliance with NCCP and Permit Conditions	9-4
10	References	10-1

List of Appendices (Provided on Attached CD)

Appendix A	Scoping Report
Appendix B	Conceptual Landscape Plan and Grading Plan
Appendix C	Magnetic Field Management Plan
Appendix D	Biological Resources Support Information
Appendix E	Alternatives Screening Report
Appendix F	Aesthetic Resources Support Information
Appendix G	Cultural Resources Support Information
Appendix H	Geologic Resources Supplement

List of Tables

Table ES.1-1	Required Permits and Approvals	2
Table ES.1-2	Summary of Scoping Comments.....	3
Table ES.4-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation for the Proposed Project	12
Table ES.6-1	Significant and Unavoidable Impacts of the Project and Alternatives	31
Table 1.4-1	Agencies, Municipalities, Organizations, and Tribes Notified During the Scoping Process	1-6
Table 1.4-2	Summary of Scoping Comments	1-8
Table 2.5-1	Areas of Temporary and Permanent Project Disturbance	2-7
Table 2.7-1	Grading Cut and Fill (Estimated)	2-30
Table 2.7-2	Staging Yard Acreages and Activities.....	2-34
Table 2.7-3	Temporary Pole Work Areas.....	2-35
Table 2.7-4	Estimated Concrete/Aggregate Use.....	2-41
Table 2.7-5	Estimated Water Use during Construction	2-43
Table 2.7-6	Construction Workforce and Equipment	2-44
Table 2.7-7	Construction Schedule	2-49
Table 2.8-1	Estimated Irrigation Water Requirements.....	2-50
Table 2.10-1	Required Permits and Approvals	2-53
Table 2.11-1	Applicant Proposed Measures	2-56
Table 3.3-1	Summary of Significant Environmental Impacts of the Project.....	3-3
Table 3.3-2	Salt Creek Substation Project Alternatives	3-6
Table 3.4-1	Power Generation at Border and LEF	3-13
Table 4.1-1	Definitions of Visual Resources Terms	4.1-1
Table 4.1-2	Landscape Character Units.....	4.1-5
Table 4.1-3	Applicant Proposed Measures for Visual Impacts.....	4.1-16
Table 4.1-4	Description of Key Observation Points and Viewer Sensitivity at Each Location	4.1-18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 4.1-5	Guidelines for Determining Adverse Visual Impact Significance	4.1-22
Table 4.1-6	Level of Visual Impact of the Proposed Power Line.....	4.1-23
Table 4.1-7	Level of Visual Impact of the Proposed Substation	4.1-23
Table 4.1-8	Summary of Potential Impacts to Aesthetics.....	4.1-24
Table 4.1-9	Construction Activity Duration	4.1-26
Table 4.1-10	Motorist Exposure to Project Features.....	4.1-52
Table 4.1-11	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.1-63
Table 4.2-1	Definitions of Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Designations and Areas within County of San Diego and City of Chula Vista.....	4.2-2
Table 4.2-2	Definitions of Local Agricultural Land Use Designations in County of San Diego and City of Chula Vista	4.2-4
Table 4.2-3	Summary of Potential Impacts to Agriculture and Forestry Resources	4.2-6
Table 4.2-4	Project Impacts to State-designated Farmland	4.2-7
Table 4.2-5	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.2-8
Table 4.2-6	Alternative 1 Impacts to State-designated Farmland	4.2-9
Table 4.2-7	Alternative 2 Impacts to State-designated Farmland	4.2-10
Table 4.2-8	Alternative 3 Impacts to State-designated Farmland	4.2-11
Table 4.3-1	Wind Directions in the Project Area (2013)	4.3-2
Table 4.3-2	San Diego Air Basin Designations.....	4.3-5
Table 4.3-3	Sensitive Receptors near the Project Area	4.3-6
Table 4.3-4	National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards	4.3-7
Table 4.3-5	Applicant Proposed Measures for Air Quality Impacts	4.3-10
Table 4.3-6	Emissions Factors and Equipment Assumptions Used in Emissions Modeling.....	4.3-12
Table 4.3-7	Summary of Potential Impacts to Air Quality.....	4.3-13
Table 4.3-8	Estimated Peak Daily Construction Air Pollutant Emissions	4.3-16
Table 4.3-9	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.3-21
Table 4.3-10	Estimated Alternative 1 Peak Daily Construction Air Pollutant Emissions	4.3-23
Table 4.3-11	Estimated Alternative 2 Peak Daily Construction Air Pollutant Emissions	4.3-26
Table 4.3-12	Alternative 2 Estimated Emissions from Additional Generation at Border and LEF	4.3-28
Table 4.3-14	Estimated Alternative 3 Peak Daily Construction Air Pollutant Emissions	4.3-30
Table 4.4-1	Vegetation Communities and Other Land Cover Types within the Biological Survey Area	4.4-5
Table 4.4-2	Special-status Species with Moderate or High Potential to Occur in the Biological Survey Area	4.4-8
Table 4.4-3	Potentially Jurisdictional Waters in the Proposed Substation Site and Transmission Corridor.....	4.4-12
Table 4.4-4	Applicant Proposed Measures for Biological Impacts	4.4-21
Table 4.4-5	Special-status Plants Located in the Project Area	4.4-25
Table 4.4-6	Summary of Direct and Indirect Impacts to Wildlife Species and Habitat by Project Component	4.4-26
Table 4.4-7	Special-status Wildlife Located in the Project Area and Potential for Effect.....	4.4-27
Table 4.4-8	Proposed Project Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Project Area	4.4-29
Table 4.4-9	Summary of Potential Impacts to Biological Resources	4.4-31
Table 4.4-10	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.4-74
Table 4.4-11	Alternative 1 Impacts to Vegetation Communities	4.4-77
Table 4.4-12	Alternatives 2 and 3 Impacts to Vegetation Communities	4.4-79
Table 4.5-1	Cultural Resources Sites within the Record Search and Survey Areas	4.5-7
Table 4.5-2	Applicant Proposed Measures for Cultural Resources	4.5-16
Table 4.5-3	Summary of Potential Impacts to Cultural Resources	4.5-19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 4.5-4	Eligible Cultural Resources Sites Requiring Mitigation	4.5-21
Table 4.5-5	Paleontological Resource Assessment by Proposed Project Area	4.5-26
Table 4.5-6	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.5-28
Table 4.5-7	Cultural Resources Sites within the Record Search for Alternative 3	4.5-33
Table 4.6-1	Geologic Units in the Project Area	4.6-4
Table 4.6-2	Soils in the Project Area	4.6-5
Table 4.6-3	Major Active Faults in the Project Region	4.6-10
Table 4.6-4	Estimated Ground Motion Parameters in the Project Area ¹	4.6-13
Table 4.6-5	Applicant Proposed Measures for Geology and Soils Impacts	4.6-17
Table 4.6-6	Summary of Potential Impacts to Geology and Soils	4.6-18
Table 4.6-7	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.6-28
Table 4.7-1	CO2 Equivalent Emissions Contributions and Trends	4.7-2
Table 4.7-2	CARB Climate Change Scoping Plan Actions	4.7-3
Table 4.7-3	Applicant Proposed Measures for Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impacts	4.7-4
Table 4.7-4	Summary of Potential Impacts to Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.7-6
Table 4.7-5	Proposed Project GHG Emissions	4.7-7
Table 4.7-6	Project Conformity with CARB Scoping Plan Actions	4.7-9
Table 4.7-7	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.7-10
Table 4.7-8	Alternative 1 GHG Emissions	4.7-11
Table 4.7-9	Alternative 2 Project GHG Emissions	4.7-13
Table 4.7-10	Alternative 3 GHG Emissions	4.7-14
Table 4.8-1	Hazardous Sites within 0.25 Mile of Project	4.8-3
Table 4.8-2	Schools within 0.25 Mile of Project Area	4.8-3
Table 4.8-3	Permissible Touch Voltages per IEEE Standard 80 ¹	4.8-9
Table 4.8-4	Applicant Proposed Measures for Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impacts	4.8-15
Table 4.8-5	Summary of Potential Hazards and Hazardous Materials Impacts	4.8-18
Table 4.8-6	Hazardous Materials Typically Used for Construction	4.8-20
Table 4.8-7	Induced Current Touch Voltages Under Steady-state Conditions	4.8-24
Table 4.8-8	Induced Current Touch Voltages under Fault Conditions	4.8-25
Table 4.8-9	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.8-32
Table 4.9-1	Dams in the Project Vicinity	4.9-5
Table 4.9-2	Impaired (Section 303(d)-listed) Water Bodies in the Project Area	4.9-7
Table 4.9-3	Applicant Proposed Measures for Hydrology and Water Quality Impacts	4.9-12
Table 4.9-4	Summary of Potential Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality	4.9-13
Table 4.9-5	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.9-27
Table 4.10-1	General Plan Land Use Designations in the Project Area	4.10-4
Table 4.10-2	Summary of Potential Impacts to Land Use	4.10-8
Table 4.10-3	Land Use Plans, Policies, and Regulations Consistency Analysis	4.10-10
Table 4.10-4	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.10-18
Table 4.11-1	Typical Noise Levels	4.11-2
Table 4.11-2	Daytime Ambient Noise Levels in Project Corridor	4.11-4
Table 4.11-3	Distance to Sensitive Receptors	4.11-7
Table 4.11-4	County of San Diego Noise Compatibility Guidelines	4.11-8
Table 4.11-5	FTA Construction Vibration Damage Criteria	4.11-9
Table 4.11-6	County of San Diego Sound Level Limits	4.11-9
Table 4.11-7	County of San Diego Impulsive Noise Limits	4.11-10
Table 4.11-8	City of Chula Vista Exterior Noise Compatibility Guidelines	4.11-10
Table 4.11-9	City of Chula Vista Exterior Noise Limits	4.11-11
Table 4.11-10	Applicant Proposed Measures for Noise Impacts	4.11-12
Table 4.11-11	Typical Maximum Noise Levels Generated by Construction Equipment	4.11-13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table 4.11-12	Project Construction Noise Levels and Regulations	4.11-14
Table 4.11-13	Project Operation and Maintenance Noise Levels.....	4.11-17
Table 4.11-14	Project Construction Groundborne Vibration Levels	4.11-18
Table 4.11-15	Summary of Potential Impacts to Noise	4.11-19
Table 4.11-16	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.11-32
Table 4.12-1	Summary of Potential Impacts to Public Services	4.12-4
Table 4.12-2	Summary of Public Services Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria.....	4.12-7
Table 4.13-1	Recreational Facilities within 1,000 feet of the Project Area	4.13-4
Table 4.14-1	Project Area Access Roadways.....	4.14-1
Table 4.14-2	Airports and Airstrips in the Project Area Vicinity	4.14-3
Table 4.14-3	Level of Service Criteria for Roadways and Unsignalized Intersections	4.14-4
Table 4.14-4	Available LOS and ADT Volumes for Public Roadways to Access the Project Corridor	4.14-6
Table 4.14-5	Applicant Proposed Measures for Transportation and Traffic Impacts.....	4.14-9
Table 4.14-6	Summary of Potential Impacts to Transportation and Traffic	4.14-11
Table 4.14-7	Maximum Trips Generated During Proposed Substation Construction	4.14-12
Table 4.14-8	Effects on LOS During Salt Creek Substation Construction	4.14-13
Table 4.14-9	Maximum Trips Generated During TL 6965 Construction	4.14-14
Table 4.14-10	Effects on LOS due to TL 6965 and TL 6910 Loop-In Construction	4.14-14
Table 4.14-11	Maximum Trips Generated During Miguel Substation Construction	4.14-15
Table 4.14-12	Effects on LOS Due to Miguel Substation Construction-phase Activities	4.14-16
Table 4.14-13	Effects on LOS due to Combined Traffic Impacts	4.14-17
Table 4.14-14	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.14-23
Table 4.15-1	Applicant Proposed Measures for Utilities and Service Systems	4.15-4
Table 4.15-2	Summary of Potential Impacts to Utilities and Service Systems	4.15-5
Table 4.15-3	Modeled AC Current Densities on Buried Pipelines	4.15-13
Table 4.15-4	Summary of Impacts from Alternatives by Significance Criteria	4.15-14
Table 5.1-1	Cumulative Project List.....	5-2
Table 6.3-1	Impacts of Alternatives Compared to the Proposed Project	6-3
Table 6.5-1	Comparison of the Proposed Project to Project Alternative Impacts.....	6-9
Table 8.1-1	Consultant Team.....	8-1
Table 8.1-2	Subcontractor Authors.....	8-2
Table 8.2-1	State Agencies and Organizations Consulted.....	8-3
Table 8.2-2	Local Agencies and Organizations Consulted	8-3
Table 9.1-1	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan.....	9-5

List of Figures

Figure ES.2-1	Proposed Project Location	4
Figure ES.2-2	Proposed Project Components.....	7
Figure 1.1-1	Proposed Project Location/Regional Map	1-2
Figure 2.3-1	Existing Transmission System	2-3
Figure 2.4-1	Project Location	2-5
Figure 2.4-2	Project Areas.....	2-6
Figure 2.6-1	Project Components (Map 1 of 11)	2-10
Figure 2.6-2	Project Components (Map 2 of 11)	2-11
Figure 2.6-3	Project Components (Map 3 of 11)	2-12
Figure 2.6-4	Project Components (Map 4 of 11)	2-13
Figure 2.6-5	Project Components (Map 5 of 11)	2-14
Figure 2.6-6	Project Components (Map 6 of 11)	2-15
Figure 2.6-7	Project Components (Map 7 of 11)	2-16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 2.6-8	Project Components (Map 8 of 11)	2-17
Figure 2.6-9	Project Components (Map 9 of 11)	2-18
Figure 2.6-10	Project Components (Map 10 of 11)	2-19
Figure 2.6-11	Project Components (Map 11 of 11)	2-20
Figure 2.6-12	Proposed Substation Layout	2-21
Figure 2.6-13	12-kV Underground Duct Bank.....	2-24
Figure 2.6-14	69-kV Underground Duct Bank.....	2-25
Figure 2.6-15	Typical TL 6965 Pole Details	2-27
Figure 3.4 1	Alternative 1 – 230/12-kV Substation and 230-kV Loop-In	3-8
Figure 3.4 2	Alternative 2 – 69/12-kV Substation and Generation at Border and Larkspur Electric Generating Facilities	3-12
Figure 3.4 3	Alternative 3 – 69/12-kV Substation and Underground 69-kV Power Line in Public ROW	3-15
Figure 4.1-1	Major Rivers and Mountains near the Proposed Project.....	4.1-4
Figure 4.1-2	KOP Locations.....	4.1-20
Figure 4.1-3	Law of Reflection	4.1-22
Figure 4.1-4	KOP #7—View from Hunte Parkway Trail Southwest of Exploration Falls Drive and Northeast of High Tech Elementary Looking Northeast.....	4.1-27
Figure 4.1-5	KOP #8—View from Hunte Parkway between Exploration Falls Drive and SDG&E ROW Looking Southeast	4.1-28
Figure 4.1-6	KOP #9—View from Hunte Parkway and SDG&E ROW Looking Southeast.....	4.1-29
Figure 4.1-7	KOP #10—View from Hunte Parkway and Hidden Path Drive Looking South.....	4.1-30
Figure 4.1-8	KOP #11—View from a Designated Trail in Otay Valley Regional Park Looking Northwest	4.1-32
Figure 4.1-9	KOP #12—View from a Trail from Hunte Parkway to Otay Valley Regional Park Looking Northwest	4.1-33
Figure 4.1-10	KOP #13—View from a Trail Adjacent to the Proposed Substation Site Looking West.....	4.1-34
Figure 4.1-11	KOP #14—View from Future University Village Development Site Looking Northwest	4.1-35
Figure 4.1-12	KOP #15—View from Intersection of a trail and SDG&E ROW in Otay Ranch Preserve (City of Chula Vista Greenbelt)	4.1-36
Figure 4.1-13	KOP #1— View from East H Street West of SR-125 Near the Northbound On-ramp Looking Northeast	4.1-39
Figure 4.1-14	KOP #2— View from Otay Lakes Road East of SR-125 Near the Southbound On-ramp Looking North	4.1-40
Figure 4.1-15	KOP #4— View from Olympic Parkway and SGD&E ROW Looking Southeast	4.1-41
Figure 4.1-16	KOP #6— View from Windingwalk Street and SGD&E ROW Looking Southeast	4.1-42
Figure 4.1-17	KOP #3— View from Sunset View Park Off of South Greensview Drive Looking Southwest	4.1-43
Figure 4.1-18	KOP #5— View from Windingwalk Park off of Exploration Falls Drive Looking North.....	4.1-44
Figure 4.1-19	Views of the Proposed Substation from Hunte Parkway Approximately 5 Years after Construction	4.1-49
Figure 4.1-20	Views of the Proposed Substation from the Future Otay Valley Regional Park Trail Approximately 5 Years after Construction	4.1-50
Figure 4.1-21	Views of the Proposed Substation from Future University Village and Otay Ranch Preserve Approximately 5 Years after Construction	4.1-51
Figure 4.1-22	Designated Scenic Vistas	4.1-57

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Figure 4.1-23	View of Alternative 1 from KOP #7	4.1-65
Figure 4.1-24	View of Alternative 1 from KOP #8	4.1-66
Figure 4.1-25	View of Alternative 1 from KOP #14	4.1-67
Figure 4.2-1	State and Local Agricultural Land in the Project Area.....	4.2-3
Figure 4.4-1	Biological Survey Area	4.4-2
Figure 4.4-2	Critical Habitat Located in the Project Vicinity.....	4.4-14
Figure 4.4-3	Preserves Located in the Project Vicinity	4.4-15
Figure 4.4-4	Preserves Located in the Vicinity (500 foot buffer) of Alternative 3.....	4.4-82
Figure 4.4-5	Critical Habitat in the Vicinity (500 foot buffer) of Alternative 3.....	4.4-83
Figure 4.5-1	Paleontological Sensitivity in the Project Area (1 of 2)	4.5-11
Figure 4.5-2	Paleontological Unit Sensitivity in the Project Area (2 of 2)	4.5-12
Figure 4.6-1	Geologic Units in the Project Area (1 of 2)	4.6-2
Figure 4.6-2	Geologic Units in the Project Area (2 of 2)	4.6-3
Figure 4.6-3	Soil Units in the Project Area (1 of 2)	4.6-6
Figure 4.6-4	Soil Units in the Project Area (2 of 2)	4.6-7
Figure 4.6-5	Major Faults in the Project Region	4.6-11
Figure 4.6-6	Alternative 3 Geologic Units	4.6-34
Figure 4.6-7	Alternative 3 Soil Units.....	4.6-35
Figure 4.8-1	Fire Hazard Severity Ratings in the Project Area	4.8-6
Figure 4.8-2	Touch Potential.....	4.8-8
Figure 4.9-1	Project Region Watersheds.....	4.9-2
Figure 4.9-2	Surface Waters in the Project Area	4.9-3
Figure 4.10-1	General Plan Land Use Designations in the Project Area (1 of 2)	4.10-2
Figure 4.10-2	General Plan Land Use Designations in the Project Area (2 of 2)	4.10-3
Figure 4.11-1	Noise Survey Locations and Sensitive Receptors (1 of 2)	4.11-5
Figure 4.11-2	Noise Survey Locations and Sensitive Receptors (2 of 2)	4.11-6
Figure 4.11-3	Sensitive Receptors along Alternative 3	4.11-36
Figure 4.12-1	Location of CVFD Fire Stations near the Project Area	4.12-2
Figure 4.13-1	Recreational Facilities in the Project Area (1 of 2)	4.13-2
Figure 4.13-2	Recreational Facilities in the Project Area (2 of 2)	4.13-3
Figure 4.14-1	Project Area Access Routes.....	4.14-2
Figure 5.1-1	Cumulative Projects in the Vicinity of the Proposed Project and Alternatives	5-5
Figure 5.2-1	Map of Cumulative Projects within Farmland of Local Importance	5-9