



Public Comment on A-14-04-011

1 message

Andy Sefkow <asefkow@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 22, 2015 at 4:32 PM

To: sycamorepenasquitos@panoramaenv.com

After a review of the Draft EIR, and a comparison of the alternatives, I recommend that the CPUC chooses **Alternative 5**. If Alternative 5 is not feasible, then the second choice is **Alternative 4**.

Under no circumstances should new poles or above-ground lines be introduced to the Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve. This is the premier natural reserve in the City of San Diego, valued for its scenic beauty and ease of access. Both Alternative 4 and 5 avoid running new overhead lines through the canyon. Alternative 5 has the additional advantage of not requiring under-grounding work in the area of the canyon, as in Alternative 4.

It is acknowledged that Alternative 5 will involve short-term disruptions due to its travel through largely commercial and industrial areas. However, such disruptions are well worth it, when viewed against the long-term benefits of a mostly-underground line, the reduction in visual blight, and the preservation of our remaining natural environments.

On behalf of ratepayers all over San Diego, I urge the CPUC to choose Alternative 5, with Alternative 4 as a second choice. The other alternatives have their own merits, but they do not do enough to protect the scenic integrity of the Los Penasquitos Canyon Preserve.

Thank you,

Andrew Sefkow
10696 Haven Brook Place
San Diego, CA 92130
[858-794-8663](tel:858-794-8663)