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Patricia Hatch [hatchpatch2@gmail.com](mailto:hatchpatch2@gmail.com)
Sun, Nov 1, 2015 at 8:30 PM
To: sycamorepenasquitos@panoramaenv.com
To Whom It May Concern,

I attended the recent Informational Workshop on Draft EIR concerning the SDG\&E Proposed SycamorePeñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project, held in Rancho Peñasquitos on September 29, 2015. I listened to and viewed the presentation made by Allison Turner of Katz \& Associates, Billie Blanchard, CPUC and Jeff Thomas, Panorama Environmental. I am submitting my comments and recommendation so that it may be considered in the Final Environmental Impact Report.

I would like to first point out that a mistake was made, whether purposely or in error, that Alternative 3 stated that it was the "Same alignment as Sunrise Powerlink retained alternative that was recommended by West Chase Homeowners Association and Rancho Peñasquitos Concerned Citizens." This was not a true statement. A member of the Rancho Peñasquitos Concerned Citizens was in attendance and pointed this out during Jeff Thomas' presentation. After Mr. Thomas completed his presentation, a group of us went with Mr. Thomas and another member of the presiding group and looked up the maps of the the Sunrise Powerlink Alternatives online. They realized that they were not identical to but had been modified, and have since sent out a memorandum addressing this conflict.

I do not want the Proposed Project, but agree that Alternative 5 should be strongly considered. The Proposed Project would add additional high-voltage lines close to homes, schools and neighborhood parks. It would diminish home values in the area. There would also be an increase risk of fires due to the overhead lines. Additionally, the aviation balls that will be added to these new lines will impact and diminish our views of our mountains and surrounding area.

Please consider ALTERNATIVE 5 as the ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVE for this project.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia L. Hatch

