PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



March 8, 2017

Ms. Jennifer Kaminsky San Diego Gas and Electric Company 1010 Tavern Road Alpine, CA 91901

RE: Sycamore- Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project—Review of Minor Project Refinement #3 Request

Dear Ms. Kaminsky,

On March 6, 2017, SDG&E submitted Minor Project Refinement (MPR) #3 for approval by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) for the Sycamore-Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project (Project). The refinement provides temporary power to the SDG&E trailer at the Stonebridge Staging Yard through the existing power box located outside of the existing Project boundary. The location of MPR #3 is shown in Exhibit 1 (attached).

The Project was evaluated in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and a Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) was prepared by the CPUC. The CPUC voted to approve the environmentally superior alternative, Alternative 5, on October 13, 2016 (Decisions 16-10-005), and a Notice of Determination was filed with the State Clearinghouse (SCH# 2014081031). The mitigation measures (MMs) and Applicant Proposed Measures (APMs) described in the FEIR were adopted by the CPUC as conditions of Project approval. The CPUC also adopted a Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) to ensure compliance with all APMs and MMs during Project implementation.

This letter documents the CPUC's thorough evaluation of all activities covered in this MPR request, including the CPUC evaluation table provided with the MPR analysis (See Attachment A). The evaluation process ensures that all MMs applicable to the location and activities covered in the MPR are implemented as required in the CPUC's decision. The evaluation process further ensures that the following criteria are met:

- Modifications would not be outside the geographic boundary of the study area utilized in the FEIR.
- A new significant impact or substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact would not be created, based on the thresholds used in the FEIR.
- Additional permit requirements would not be triggered that are not defined in the FEIR or MMCRP.
- There would not be a conflict with any APM or MM, and the modifications would not result in a new conflict with any applicable guideline, ordinance, code, rule, regulation, order, decision, statute, or policy not already identified within the FEIR.
- Modifications would not require new conditions for approval, without which the modifications
 would result in a new significant impact or substantially increase the severity of a previously
 identified significant impact.

MPR #3 is granted by the CPUC for the proposed activities based on the factors described below.

SDG&E MPR #3 Request. Excerpts from the SDG&E MPR #3 Request, received March 6, 2017, are presented below (indented):

Under this proposed Minor Project Refinement (proposed refinement), the existing electric box would be branched off to provide power to the Stonebridge Staging Yard Trailer. A map of the proposed refinement area is provided in Attachment 1. Construction activities associated with the proposed refinement would occur approximately 8.5 feet outside of the approved project boundary in an approximately 33 square ft, area and would include the installation of a single 30 foot (ft.) long wood temporary power pole and an 8-12 feet long trench measuring approximately 32 inches deep and 24 inches wide. The trench would be installed to connect the pole to an existing SDG&E electrical box (Attachment 2, Photo 1). The excavation for the temporary power pole would measure approximately 16 inches wide and approximately 4 feet deep (Attachment 2, Photo 2). In addition, an electrical panel will be attached to the power pole. The contractor, Southland Electrical Inc., would install the power pole and excavate the trench for the electrical box. It is expected that the excavation of the trench and the installation of the power pole would take approximately two to three business days to complete. Work is anticipated to begin approximately three business days after MPR approval and the temporary power facilities would remain in place until SDG&E demobilizes from the Stonebridge Staging Yard anticipated for the third quarter of 2018.

CPUC Evaluation of MPR #3 Request

In accordance with the MMCRP, the MPR #3 request was reviewed by CPUC to confirm that no new impacts or increase in impact severity would result from the requested MPR activities. The following discussion summarizes this analysis for air quality, biological resources, cultural and paleontological resources, and other issue areas. A list of bulleted conditions is presented to define additional information and clarifications regarding mitigation measure requirements.

Air Quality

The proposed refinement would increase trenching by 24 square feet. Construction and utilization of the refinement area (such as the type of equipment used and run time of equipment) are consistent with those discussed in the FEIR. The implementation of APM AIR-2 and MMs Air-3 and Air-4 would reduce impacts; however, impacts would remain significant and unavoidable. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on air quality.

Biological Resources

The proposed refinement area consists of ornamental/landscaped vegetation and includes only a few individual native shrubs, *Baccharis sarothroides*. Impacts are consistent with the impacts to biological resources identified in the FEIR and will remain less than significant with mitigation.

A nesting bird survey of the proposed refinement area was conducted on February 28, 2017, and resulted in the discovery of one active Anna's hummingbird nest located approximately 380 feet from the proposed refinement area and outside of the 250-foot nesting passerine bird buffer.

There will be no new impacts to sensitive habitat, avian species, or sensitive or special-status species utilizing the habitat in the proposed refinement areas and no increase in the severity of a previously identified impact on biological resources as identified in the FEIR.

Cultural and Paleontological Resources

The proposed refinement area is located in an area of high potential for cultural resources; however, the area was previously graded and landscaped. A records search previously completed for the FEIR did not identify cultural resources in close proximity to the proposed refinement area. No resources listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) are located within the proposed refinement area. The proposed refinement area is located in an area of high paleontological sensitivity, consistent with the design analyzed in the FEIR.

Cultural or paleontological resources could be encountered in these areas; however, APMs CUL-1, and CUL-6, and MMs Cultural Resources-1, Cultural Resources-2, Cultural Resources-4, Paleontology-1, Paleontology-2, and Paleontology-3 would reduce the impacts on cultural and paleontological resources to less than significant. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on cultural or paleontological resources.

Other Issue Areas

The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on aesthetics, agriculture and forestry, fire and fuels management, geology and soils, greenhouse gas emissions, hazards and hazardous materials, hydrology and water quality, land use, noise, public services, recreation, transportation and traffic, or utilities and service systems.

MPR #3 Conditions of Approval

MPR #3 is approved by the CPUC with conditions. The conditions presented below shall be met by SDG&E and its contractors:

- 1. All applicable Project mitigation measures, APMs, compliance plans, and permit conditions shall be implemented. Some measures have on-going/time-sensitive requirements and shall be implemented prior to and during construction, where applicable. Prior to construction, SDG&E must submit all applicable permits to the CPUC.
- 2. Copies of all relevant permits, compliance plans, and this MPR, shall be available on site for the duration of construction activities.
- 3. Wildlife found to be trapped shall be removed by a qualified biological monitor.
- 4. SDG&E shall implement appropriate dust controls at the MPR #3 work area in accordance with the approved Dust Control Management Plan, and SWPPP. SDG&E shall use non-potable water for dust control, as required by MM Utilities-1.
- 5. SDG&E shall implement all appropriate erosion and sediment control BMPs for the MPR #3 work area as defined in the SWPPP, and as specified by the Qualified SWPPP Practitioner. Sediment and erosion control BMPs shall be properly maintained throughout the duration of construction activities.
- 6. All ground-disturbing activities (e.g., grading, trenching, etc.) shall be monitored by a CPUC-approved archaeological monitor and a Native American monitor in accordance with MM Cultural Resources-1, where appropriate. In the event of an archaeological discovery, all construction activity associated with MPR #3 shall be halted.
- 7. All ground-disturbing activities at the MPR #3 work area shall be monitored by a CPUC-approved paleontological monitor. In the event of a paleontological discovery, all earthwork must cease within 50 feet of the discovery, and procedures defined in MM Paleontology-3 shall be implemented.

- 8. SDG&E shall properly store all hazardous materials and contain and dispose of contaminated soils and materials as described in the CPUC-approved Hazardous Substance Control and Emergency Response Plan.
- 9. All complaints received by SDG&E shall be logged and reported immediately to the CPUC. This includes complaints relevant to traffic, as well as lighting, noise and dust, etc. Where feasible, complaints shall be resolved, depending on the nature of the complaint, through construction site or activity modifications.
- 10. All workers shall receive Safety and Environmental Awareness Program (SEAP) training prior to work at the construction site. A log shall be maintained on site with the names of all crew personnel who have received training. All training participants shall wear their SEAP hard-hat sticker for ease of compliance verification.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns regarding this MPR approval.

Sincerely,

Billie Blanchard Project Manager

Energy Division, CEQA Unit

cc: Molly Sterkel, CPUC Program Manager

Billie Blandrack

Lonn Maier, CPUC Supervisor Marcelo Poirier, CPUC Attorney Jeff Thomas, Panorama Environmental Susanne Heim, Panorama Environmental Sheila Hoyer, Panorama Environmental

Edith Moreno, SDG&E Ron Walker, AECOM

Exhibit 1: Map of Refinement Area

Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #3

Exhibit 1: Minor Project Refinement #3 Location Map



Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #3

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes
Aesthetics (e.g., damage scenic resources or vistas, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, or create sources of light or glare)?		
FEIR Significance: Significant and Unavoidable		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Aesthetics:		
The proposed refinement would not increase the impact to the visual quality of the area. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyze aesthetics as identified in the FEIR.		
Agriculture and Forestry Resources (e.g., convert Farmland to nonagricultural use, or create a conflict with existing agricultural zoning or a Williamson Act)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Agriculture and Forestry Resources:		
The proposed refinement would not convert agricultural land to non-agricultural use, or result of agricultural land. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase to a previously analyzed impact on agriculture or forestry resources.		
Air Quality (e.g., produce criteria air pollutant emissions, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)?		
FEIR Significance: Significant and Unavoidable		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Air Quality:		
Activities associated with construction and utilization of the proposed refinement area (such of equipment used and run time of equipment) are consistent with those discussed in the FE on air quality would remain significant and unavoidable with the implementation of APM Ai Mitigation Measures Air-3, and Air-4. The proposed refinement would not result in a new implinarease the severity of a previously analyzed impact on air quality.	EIR. Impe ir-2, and	acts
Biological Resources (e.g., have an adverse effect on sensitive or special-status species; impact riparian, wetland, or any other sensitive habitat; or conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Biological Resources:		
The biological resources in the proposed refinement area are consistent with the biological the areas of disturbance considered in the FEIR. It would not involve temporary or permane vegetation, sensitive habitat, or protected species. Impacts to biological resources would rethan significant. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of analyzed impact on biological resources.	nt impo emain le	acts to ess
Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to a significant historical, archeological, or paleontological resource)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Cultural and Paleontological Resource	es:	
No cultural or paleontological resources have been recorded within the proposed refinemed Cultural or paleontological resources could be encountered in these areas; however, APMs CUL-6, and Mitigation Measures Cultural Resources-1, Cultural Resources-2, Cultural Resource Paleontology-1, Paleontology-2, and Paleontology-3 would reduce the impacts on cultural paleontological resources to less than significant. The proposed refinement would not result impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on cultural or paleontological.	CUL-1, ces-4, or in a ne	and w

Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #3 (Cont.)

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes		
Fire and Fuels Management (e.g., cause of expose people or structures to fire hazards, or create a conflict with a Fire Management Plan?)				
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation				
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Fire and Fuels Management:				
Activities associated with construction and utilization of the proposed refinement area are consistent with those discussed in the FEIR. The refinement would not result in a new impact or increase to a previously analyzed impact on fire or fuels management.				
Geology and Soils (e.g., cause or expose people or structures to geologic or soil hazards, including erosion or loss of topsoil)?				
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation				
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Geology and Soils:				
The proposed refinement would occur in areas containing the same underlying geologic and as those discussed in the FEIR. Impacts on these geologic resources were analyzed in the FEIR Implementation of APMs GEO-1 and GEO-3, and Mitigation Measures Geology-1, Geology-2, Geology-3 would reduce the impacts on geology and soils to less than significant. The propose refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed geology and soils.	R. , and sed			
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (e.g., produce criteria greenhouse gas pollutants, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)?				
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation				
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Greenhouse Gas Emissions: The level of equipment use and run time of equipment required for the proposed refinement would be consistent with the equipment use and run time estimates included in the FEIR. The implementation of APM AIR-3 and Mitigation Measure GHG-1 would reduce the impacts on greenhouse gas emissions to less than significant. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on greenhouse gas emissions.				
Hazards and Hazardous Materials (e.g., create or increase the exposure of people or structures to hazardous materials, involve the use of additional hazardous materials or equipment, or interfere with an adopted emergency plan)?				
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation				
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Hazards and Hazardous Materials:				
The proposed refinement would require use of the same types of equipment and hazardous of that were analyzed in the FEIR. The proposed refinement area does not contain known hazar materials sites. The implementation of APMs HAZ-1, HAZ-2, and HAZ-3, and Mitigation Measure 2, Hazards-3, and Hazards-4, would reduce the impacts on hazards and hazardous materials significant. The proposed refinements would not result in a new impact or increase the severit previously analyzed impact on hazards and hazardous materials.	rdous es Hazo to less	ards-		

Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #3 (Cont.)

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to: No Yes			
Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g., degrade water quality, discharge waste or sediment, deplete groundwater, alter the existing drainage pattern, create additional runoff water or polluted runoff, place structures in a 100-year flood hazard area, or expose people or structures to a significant risk involving flooding)?			
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Hydrology and Water Quality:			
The proposed refinement is within the area previously surveyed for hydrological resources and would remain consistent with the impacts to hydrological resources and water quality analyzed in the FEIR. The implementation of APM HYDRO-3, and Mitigation Measures Hydrology-1, Hydrology-2, and Hydrology-4 would reduce impacts on hydrology and water quality to less than significant. The proposed refinements would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on hydrology and water quality.			
Land Use and Planning (e.g., conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project, or conflict with a habitat conservation plan)?			
FEIR Significance: No Impact			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Land Use and Planning:			
The proposed refinement is located within the same area as the Project analyzed in the FEIR. The proposed refinement would have no impact on land use and planning.			
Noise (e.g., expose sensitive receptors to additional noise or vibration)? \Box			
FEIR Significance: Significant and Unavoidable			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Noise:			
Activities associated with construction and utilization of the proposed refinement area (such as use of heavy equipment, construction duration etc.) are consistent with those discussed in the FEIR. The implementation of Mitigation Measures Noise-1, and Noise-2 would still result in impacts on noise that are significant and unavoidable. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on noise.			
Public Services (e.g., result in adverse impacts on government facilities that provide a public service)?			
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Public Services:			
The proposed refinement does not have the potential to result in lane closures or delays. The proposed refinement is not located near a school or within a park. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on public services.			
Recreation (e.g., increase the use of, or cause adverse effects on, parks or other recreational facilities)? \Box			
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation			
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impact on Recreation:			
The proposed refinement is not located within a park, preserve, or trail. However, a walking path is located adjacent to the proposed temporary work space area. Temporary closure of the path is not anticipated. However, during pole installation, pedestrians using the walking path may be routed around the proposed refinement area by the LEI and/or construction personnel if there is any equipment being used that may pose a potential safety concern. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on recreation.			

Attachment A: CPUC Evaluation of Minor Project Refinement #3 (Cont.)

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No	Yes
Transportation and Traffic (e.g., increase traffic congestion or degrade performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, or increase hazards due to a design feature)?		
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Transportation and Traffic:		
The proposed refinement would not result in an increase in vehicle traffic, or lane closure, r	nor wou	ld it

The proposed refinement would not result in an increase in vehicle traffic, or lane closure, nor would it result in the loss of parking. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on transportation and traffic.

Utilities and Service Systems (e.g., result in the construction of new or expansion of existing water or stormwater drainage facilities, require additional water entitlements, create new solid waste disposal needs)?	
FEIR Significance: Less than Significant with Mitigation	

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Utilities and Service Systems:

The proposed refinement is to accommodate temporary utility service to the Project and is consistent with the impacts on utilities and service systems as described in the FEIR. The proposed refinement would not result in a new impact or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on utilities.